# Texas Business Litigation

2022

SOFIA ADROGUÉ, EDITOR CAROLINE BAKER, CO-EDITOR



DEDICATED TO STEPHEN D. SUSMAN



#### **TEXAS BUSINESS LITIGATION, 2022**

#### Sofia Adrogué, Editor, Caroline Baker, Co-Editor

Any attorney who has been licensed for a few years should appreciate the fact, and any seasoned practitioner will agree, that the practice of law in the 21st century bears little resemblance to that of the 1900's and it is not entirely due to the technology age. To some degree, it requires almost a re-education process. There is no doubt that it requires a new approach.

The advent of new statutes and changing regulations requires constant vigilance and careful attention by those who appreciate the importance of staying current in their representation of clients. That is the beauty of this treatise. Almost no topic of interest, especially to those who have a litigation practice, has been overlooked. Each of the 25 topics has been covered in depth. It can be used as a handy reference as the need arises.

I predict this publication will be the quick "go to" work for those who "enjoy" an active practice, whatever the area. —Hon. Ruby Kless Sondock

Modern complex business litigation presents a witches' brew of risks to both sides. Controlling legal standards vary across possible venues. Discovery costs are potentially exorbitant. The challenge of persuasively communicating to courts and juries on issues which are foreign to them requires great imagination and skill. Realistic assessment of settlement values is a critical and difficult art.

The Editors have assembled a galaxy of some of the best trial lawyers in the nation to address the myriad issues raised. This work will be an invaluable resource for both trial lawyers and corporate counsel.

—Harry Reasoner, Senior Partner, Vinson & Elkins LLP



**Sofia Adrogué, Editor**, a native of Argentina and commercial litigator for over 25 years, is a trial partner with the Houston office of Diamond McCarthy LLP.



Caroline Baker, Co-Editor, a fifth-generation Texan, served the citizens of Harris County as a senior state district judge for 21 years and now sits by assignment and is in charge of a Multidistrict Litigation.

#### **Contributing Authors**

Kim J. Askew (Discovery), DLA Piper LLP

Chip Babcock (Speech-Based Torts: Libel, Slander, Business Disparagement and Invasion of Privacy), Jackson Walker, L.L.P.

Chad Baruch (Ethics), Johnston Tobey Baruch, PC

David J. Beck (Damages), Beck Redden, LLP

Maria Wyckoff Boyce (Expert Witnesses), Hogan Lovells US LLP

Hon. Mark Drummond (Ret.) (Remote Advocacy and Innovations to Improve Jury Trials in Texas), Judicial Director, Civil Jury Project, NYU School of Law

Dawn Estes (E-Discovery), Estes Thorne & Carr PLLC

Charles Everingham IV (Intellectual Property and Trade Secrets Litigation), Ward, Smith & Hill, PLLC

David Gerger (Criminal Law in Business Tort Cases), Gerger Hennessy & McFarlane

**Robin Gibbs** (*Breach of Fiduciary Duty Claims in the Commercial Setting*), Gibbs & Bruns LLP

Elizabeth M. Guffy (Bankruptcy Ramifications in Business Litigation Cases), Locke Lord LLP

Ladd Hirsch (Business Divorce), Bradley

Lamont A. Jefferson (Contorts), Jefferson Cano, PLLC

Randy Johnston (Ethics), Johnston Tobey Baruch, P.C.

Mark Lanier (The Liability Case), Lanier Law Firm

Michael J. Mazzone (Construction Litigation), Haynes & Boone, LLP Alice Oliver-Parrott (Alternative Dispute Resolution), Alice Oliver-Parrott, P.C. James J. Ormiston (Selected Causes of Action Unique to the Oil and Gas Industry), Gray Reed & McGraw LLP

Yvette Ostolaza (Fraud and Negligent Misrepresentation), Sidley Austin LLP

**Evan P. Singer** (Corporate Governance and Regulatory Proceedings), Jones Day

Harry Susman (Evaluating Commercial Cases: Avoiding Icebergs), Susman Godfrey L.L.P.

**Stephen D. Susman** (deceased) (Innovations to Improve Jury Trials in Texas), Susman Godfrey L.L.P.

**Prof. W. Bradley Wendel** (*Third-Party Litigation Financing*), Cornell Law School

A. Martin Wickliff, Jr. (Employment Law Litigation), Cozen O'Connor Marie R. Yeates (Preservation of Error—Appeal Tactics), Vinson & Elkins LLP

R. Paul Yetter (Antitrust), Yetter Coleman LLP

John Zavitsanos (Tactical Considerations in Modern Business Trials), AZA



### About this Book

"Any attorney who has been licensed for a few years should appreciate the fact, and any seasoned practitioner will agree, that the practice of law in the 21st century bears little resemblance to that of the 1900s and it is not entirely due to the technology age. To some degree, it requires almost a re-education process. There is no doubt that it requires a new approach.

The advent of new statutes and changing regulations requires constant vigilance and careful attention by those who appreciate the importance of staying current in their representation of clients. That is the beauty of this treatise. Almost no topic of interest, especially to those who have a litigation practice, has been overlooked. Each of the 25 topics has been covered in depth. It can be used as a handy reference as the need arises.

I predict this publication will be the quick 'go to' work for those who 'enjoy' an active practice, whatever the area."

#### —Hon. Ruby Kless Sondock

"Modern complex business litigation presents a witches' brew of risks to both sides. Controlling legal standards vary across possible venues. Discovery costs are potentially exorbitant. The challenge of persuasively communicating to courts and juries on issues which are foreign to them requires great imagination and skill. Realistic assessment of settlement values is a critical and difficult art.

The Editors have assembled a galaxy of some of the best trial lawyers in the nation to address the myriad issues raised. This work will be an invaluable resource for both trial lawyers and corporate counsel."

-Harry Reasoner, Senior Partner, Vinson & Elkins LLP

#### **NAVIGATING COVID-19**

The April 2020 Newsletter of Stephen D. Susman's brainchild and legacy, the Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, commenced with a few poignant and prescient remarks.

The Covid-19 pandemic has accelerated courts' turning to technology in order to deliver justice. Until our events start back up in autumn, we will be working on analyzing how a virtual trial would work. Are there any Constitutional concerns of having jurors deliberate remotely? Would this decrease or increase costs for an already burdened system? How would you pick a jury? Would a virtual trial deliver the same quality of justice? The list of considerations of moving from the physical courtroom to a courtroom in cyberspace is long.

No doubt, "the year 2020 will be remembered as a galvanizing moment in the maturity of legal systems across America." And, not surprisingly, within our profession, COVID-19 has presented complex challenges to the American delivery of justice. Our industry, like many others, has been indelibly impacted and it is an open question whether this new "virtual reality" will be a long-term game changer. There has been a "sea change" in the practice of law—virtual meetings, depositions, and/or hearings are here to stay in one way, shape or form. There is no true "going back to the way things were before."

Texas Chief Justice Nathan L. Hecht, President of the Conference of Chief Justices and Co-Chair of the National Center for State

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1.</sup> Stephen D. Susman, *Opening Statement*, Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, Vol. 5 Issue 4 (Apr. 2020), *available at* https://myemail.constantcontact.com/April-Newsletter-of-the-Civil-Jury-Project.html?soid=1127815376566&aid=gdpEH5xV60Q.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mitchell A. Chester, *The Dynamic Opportunities and Responsibilities of Virtual Jury Trials*, Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, Vol. 5 Issue 10 (Oct. 2020), *available at* https://myemail.constantcontact.com/October-Newsletter-of-the-Civil-Jury-Project. html?soid=1127815376566&aid=Kq-BgL3cTfQ ("The year 2020 will be remembered as a galvanizing moment in the maturity of legal systems across America. How we deliver legal services and make court appearances will not be the same, nor should they remain mired within inefficient and outdated practices.").

Courts Pandemic Rapid Response Team, powerfully articulated the following.

Since the onset of the pandemic, courts throughout the country have determined to stay open to deliver justice without faltering, no matter the adjustments and sacrifices demanded, but also to protect staff . . . and the public from the risks of disease. We are learning new technology and practices together.<sup>3</sup>

State courts are the heart of the American system of justice. Collectively we are working together to protect public health while also finding innovative ways to keep the courts open for business.<sup>4</sup>

A hallmark of our justice system is the right to a jury trial.<sup>5</sup> The pandemic has challenged our ability to safely deliver on that promise, but through the efforts of many Texas judges, clerks, court staff, and attorneys over the past few months, today we have a roadmap to resuming those jury trials, even if that roadmap will be restricted to ensure the health and safety of the public.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3.</sup> Rapid Response Team: Pandemic Roadmap to Guide State Courts Forward, State Justice System, available at https://www.sji.gov/rapid-response-team-pandemic-roadmap-to-guide-state-courts-forward/ ("The Pandemic Rapid Response Team (RRT), a group of chief justices and state court administrators established in March 2020, has created a roadmap to help state courts move forward during the pandemic—and after it ends.... The RRT was created by the Conference of Chief Justices (CCJ) and the Conference of State Court Administrators (COSCA) and is supported by National Center for State Courts (NCSC). The State Justice Institute (SJI) is providing funding for this initiative.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> State courts lead national effort to maintain access to justice despite COVID-19 pandemic, Apr. 2020, available at https://www.ncsc.org/newsroom/news-releases/2020/state-courts-lead-national-effort-to-maintain-access-to-justice. See also Pandemic lessons learned, Mar. 2021, available at https://www.ncsc.org/newsroom/public-health-emergency/newsletters/pandemic-one-year-later.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5.</sup> Report details Texas jury trials during COVID-19 pandemic, Aug. 31, 2020, available at https://blog.texasbar.com/2020/08/articles/coronavirus/report-details-texas-jury-trials-during-covid-19-pandemic/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6.</sup> Report details Texas jury trials during COVID-19 pandemic, Aug. 31, 2020, available at https://blog.texasbar.com/2020/08/articles/coronavirus/report-details-texas-jury-trials-during-covid-19-pandemic/.

Chief Justice Hecht also predicted and pronounced that "[w]e're going to be doing court business remotely forever." Indeed, within our state, "[t]he Texas judiciary has led the country and world in developing methods to safely host in-person jury trials and conduct them effectively virtually." Jury trials did, in fact, occur in counties such as Harris County, where extensive COVID protocols were put in place, voir dire was conducted at NRG Stadium with positive juror turnout, and trials were completed successfully at the courthouse.

However, despite all of the tremendous efforts, jury trials unquestionably were drastically affected. According to David Slayton, the Administrative Director of the Texas Office of Court Administration, as of August, 2020, there were only 1,554 civil jury trials and 2,695 criminal district court trials. Courts accepted the challenges presented by the pandemic and pivoted to innovative solutions such as virtual summary jury trials and virtual bench trials to seek to deliver justice safely.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7.</sup> The future of virtual court hearings – why are they going to stay?, Mar. 15, 2021, available at https://casedoc.com/future-virtual-court-hearings/. See Dr. Ken Broda-Bahm, The Virtual Trial: Be Conscious of What is Lost and What is Found, Persuasive Litigator, Mar. 18, 2021, available at https://www.persuasivelitigator.com/2021/03/the-virtual-trial-be-conscious-of-what-is-lost-and-what-is-found.html. See also Zoom courts will stick around as virus forces seismic change, July 30, 2020, available at https://www.ndcourts.gov/news/national/legal-issues/zoom-courts-will-stick-around-as-virus-forces-seismic-change ("Courts forced to accelerate years of innovation into weeks may never go back to how they did business before the pandemic, according to interviews with more than 30 state and federal judges, lawyers and court staff in 16 U.S. states and the District of Columbia. The embrace of technology is a revolution for many courts that have historically resisted it.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> David Slayton, Administrative Director of the Texas Office of Court Administration, *Preserving the Right to Jury Trial During a Pandemic: A Daunting Task*, The Advocate, Vol. 94, p. 9 (Spring 2021).

<sup>9.</sup> See Office of Court Administration, Jury Trials During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Observations and Recommendations, at p. 13 (Aug. 28, 2020), available at https://www.txcourts.gov/media/1449660/jury-report-to-scotx-final.pdf. See also Sarah Jarvis, Coronavirus: The Latest Court Closures And Restrictions, Law 360, Apr. 15, 2021, available at https://www.law360.com/articles/1252836/coronavirus-the-latest-court-closures-and-restrictions?nl\_pk=83644f7e-3e9e-490e-b781-e09d36393c3d&utm\_source=newsletter&utm\_medium=email&utm\_campaign=special.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10.</sup> David Slayton, Administrative Director of the Texas Office of Court Administration, *Preserving the Right to Jury Trial During a Pandemic: A Daunting Task,* The Advocate, Vol. 94, p. 11 (Spring 2021). *See* www.litigationsection.com.

Undoubtedly, during the pandemic, litigants, as well as courts (state and federal), continued to balance the various interests, including the extraordinary legal (constitutional, practical and ethical) issues that must be considered in moving a trial from a physical courtroom to a virtual courtroom. Such considerations include as follows: the permissibility and constitutionality of a jury trial by videoconference; potential reduction of ability to obtain an adequate spectrum of jurors (no access to the internet); commensurate technology costs; and whether a virtual trial delivers the same quality of justice (including ethical concerns about whether jurors will follow court instructions when they are attending trial remotely and whether witnesses will follow "The Rule" and how it can be enforced—in other words, how do you know "who's in the room?").

While virtual jury trials have been explored, there is a strong sense that safely and successfully returning to in-person jury trials is the overarching goal of courts, attorneys, and litigants. Jury trials by their very nature are "innately human experiences" and those who have been participants in a jury trial—judges, lawyers, litigants, and jurors—understand and appreciate that often what is communicated in a courtroom non-verbally can be as important as (if not more important than) what is communicated verbally. Many judges and practitioners have expressed concerns during the pandemic that "the remote, sterile, and disjointed reality of virtual proceedings," as well as the "casualness" of Zoom, not only cannot "replicate the totality of the human experience" and quarantee the constitutional rights and protections afforded

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11.</sup> The Jury Returns, Nov. 24, 2020, available at https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/jury-trials-are-innately-human-89547/ (citing Hon. Rodney Gilstrap, Chief Judge, U.S. District Court Eastern District of Texas, Nov. 20, 2020 Order).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12.</sup> *The Jury Returns*, Nov. 24, 2020, *available at* https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/jury-trials-are-innately-human-89547/ (citing Hon. Rodney Gilstrap, Chief Judge, U.S. District Court Eastern District of Texas, Nov. 20, 2020 Order).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The Jury Returns, Nov. 24, 2020, available at https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/jury-trials-are-innately-human-89547/ (citing Hon. Rodney Gilstrap, Chief Judge, U.S. District Court Eastern District of Texas, Nov. 20, 2020 Order). See also Quentin Brogdon, Mandatory Online Jury Trials: An Idea Whose Time Has Not Come, Texas Lawyer, Aug. 30, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14.</sup> *The Jury Returns*, Nov. 24, 2020, *available at* https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/jury-trials-are-innately-human-89547/(citing Hon. Rodney Gilstrap, Chief Judge, U.S. District Court Eastern District of Texas, Nov. 20, 2020 Order).

by the Sixth and Seventh Amendments,<sup>15</sup> but also virtual proceedings inevitably sacrifice the formality and solemnity in which court proceedings traditionally are and must be conducted.<sup>16</sup>

There has been serious debate as to whether virtual jury trials will or should continue post-pandemic, however, clearly lessons have been learned from trying to navigate trials during COVID-19.<sup>17</sup>

As we ideally transition into a post-pandemic world, it is evident that courts, lawyers, litigants, and jurors will continue to adjust to the "new normal", all the while navigating and innovating in ways to ensure that justice is delivered in a safe and efficient manner, and that access to justice and access to participation in the process is protected. It is no small task, but our commitment to the Sixth and Seventh Amendments demands it; we will not waiver in facing the task and delivering. <sup>18</sup>

For instance, with the increased participation rate of virtual jury selection, should we consider the barriers to in-person jury service and retain this method of selection? Should we retain the increased flexibility for jurors provided through technology to alert the court of its issues to appearing for jury service? I believe the answer to these is yes, but more study is necessary before the final verdict is in.

David Slayton, Administrative Director of the Texas Office of Court Administration, *Preserving the Right to Jury Trial During a Pandemic: A Daunting Task*, The Advocate, Vol. 94, p. 12 (Spring 2021), *available at* www.litigationsection.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15.</sup> The Jury Returns, Nov. 24, 2020, available at https://www.jdsupra.com/legalnews/jury-trials-are-innately-human-89547/ (citing Hon. Rodney Gilstrap, Chief Judge, U.S. District Court Eastern District of Texas, Nov. 20, 2020 Order). See also Susan A. Bandes & Neal Feigenson, Virtual Trials: Necessity, Invention, and the Evolution of the Courtroom, 68 Buff. L. Rev. 1275 (2020), available at https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview/vol68/iss5/1.

<sup>16.</sup> See, e.g., Dr. Ken Broda-Bahm, The Virtual Trial: Be Conscious of What is Lost and What is Found, Persuasive Litigator, Mar. 18, 2021, available at https://www.persuasivelitigator.com/2021/03/the-virtual-trial-be-conscious-of-what-is-lost-and-what-is-found.html. See also Quentin Brogdon, Mandatory Online Jury Trials: An Idea Whose Time Has Not Come, Texas Lawyer, Aug. 30, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17.</sup> David Slayton posits as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18.</sup> Jessica Arden Ettinger, David Gerger, & Barry J. Pollack, *Ain't Nothing Like the Real Thing: Will Coronavirus Infect the Confrontation Clause?*, The Champion, *2020 National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers* ®, Inc., *available at* https://www.nacdl.org/Article/May2020-AintNothingLiketheRealThingWillCoronavirus. *See also* Richard Emery and Daniel Cooper, *COVID-19 Cannot Be the Death Knell for the American Jury Trial*, N.Y.L.J. (Apr. 20, 2020), *available at* https://www.law.com/newyorklawjournal/2020/04/20/covid-19-cannot-be-the-death-knell-for-the-american-jury-trial/.

#### **DEDICATION**

#### Stephen D. Susman—An Incomparable Innovator

Epic/Warrior/Legendary/ Trailblazer & Trial Legend/ **Visionary & Innovator/** Fearless/Peerless Texas Pioneer/Egalitarian/ Entrepreneur/Charismatic & Fun/Larger than Life with a Heart of Gold/Hope Diamond/Not a Man of Half-Measures/Outsized Influence/ Advocate of High Risk/High Reward/Susman Godfrey's Founding Partner/Big Daddy/Not "Mr. Susman"/ Institution-Builder/Not a Cult Leader/Professor/Friend/ Son/Father/Grandfather/Papa & **Champion of the Civil Jury** System<sup>19</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19.</sup> Sofia Adrogué, *Litigating Through Crisis, The Sui Generis "Super Sus"—Stephen D. Susman*, The Advocate, Vol. 93, p. 45 (Winter 2020), *available at* www.litigationsection.com.

#### A Tribute to SDS - Veni, Vidi, Vici!

So when a great man dies, For Years beyond our ken, The Light he leaves behind lies Upon the paths of men<sup>20</sup>

This Fifth Edition of our *Texas Business Litigation* treatise is dedicated to Stephen D. Susman. Cognizant there are no words to describe the loss for many, personally and professionally, we sought to capture his irrepressible spirit via an amalgamation of descriptive words for truly a *sui generis* fellow lawyer. Further, we articulate below why his April 2020 inquiries about innovating, navigating and litigating through the novel COVID-19 virus in his Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law Newsletter were the impetus for the special aspect added to this Edition—each contributing author's assessment of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on their area of expertise. Moreover, we explore two select arenas, of the many others not feasible to address in this dedication, where Steve's legacy and imprimatur are palpable and everlasting.

Sofia had the luxury of meeting Steve 30 years ago, working for and learning from him at Susman Godfrey, trying a case with him in federal court in Puerto Rico, having the honor of preparing with him as he participated in the Trial of Hamlet in federal court, and, most impactfully and unforgettably, benefitting from his encouragement and guidance in her role as she envisioned and serves as the Editor of this treatise, *Texas Business Litigation*, with fellow Co-Editor, Hon. Caroline Baker.

Caroline has had the honor and privilege of serving as a Judicial Advisor to the Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law and working with Steve to fully develop another brainchild of his—the Young Lawyers in the Courtroom Program, which was designed to provide young lawyers meaningful and substantive speaking opportunities in the courtroom. Thankfully, Steve was able to see

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20.</sup> Hon. Mark A. Drummond (ret.), *Opening Statement*, Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, Vol. 5 Issue 8 (Aug. 2020), *available at* https://myemail.constantcontact.com/August-Newsletter-of-the-Civil-Jury-Project.html?soid=1127815376566&aid=00MI2 2a82UO.

this invaluable program come to fruition. The Young Lawyers in the Courtroom Program, in conjunction with the Houston Young Lawyers Association and with the full support of the Houston Bar Association, was implemented in the Harris County district courts in 2018.<sup>21</sup>

#### Commercial Litigation in the 21st Century the Aftermath of the "Vanishing Trial"

In paradigmatic Susman form, the legendary trial lawyer dedicated countless hours and commensurate resources to address why jury trials are vanishing. He sought to give fellow lawyers, the judiciary, and, indeed, society, a roadmap to keep jury trials from becoming extinct,<sup>22</sup> aware of the numbers taking a precipitous decline across state and federal courts nationwide. In point of fact, during the last fiscal year, in Texas state courts, 0.11% or less of the cases were disposed of by jury trial.<sup>23</sup>

Aware that litigation in the 21st century remains the subject of vigorous substantive debate and commensurate study, Steve envisioned, led and funded the Civil Jury Project in 2015 at NYU School of Law—a "collaborative effort between law students, lawyers, judges and political bodies across the nation" to "examine the factors leading to decline in civil jury trials and educate the legal community and the public on methods to revitalizing the dying system."<sup>24</sup>

To date, the Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law has engaged over 335 Judicial Advisors, 67 Judicial Advisors Emeritus, 73 Academic Advisors, and 45 Jury Consultant Advisors, who are focusing on educating the public on their right to a jury trial; informing the public that jury trials are declining at an alarming rate; and advocating for the utilization of tools to reduce the costs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21.</sup> See, e.g., 2021 Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, available at https://civiljuryproject.law.nyu.edu/young-lawyers-in-the-courtroom-program/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22.</sup> Sofia Adrogué & Hon. Caroline Baker, *Texas Business Litigation*, About this Book (2019 Ed.). *See also generally* https://civiljuryproject.law.nyu.edu/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23.</sup> See Office of Court Administration, *Jury Trials During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Observations and Recommendations* (Aug. 28, 2020), *available at https://www.txcourts.gov/media/1449660/jury-report-to-scotx-final.pdf.* 

 $<sup>^{24}\</sup> See$  https://www.susmangodfrey.com/news-awards/sg-news/benchmark-litigation-names-three-susman-godfrey-attorneys-to-top-100-trial-lawyers-of-2016/. See also generally https://civiljuryproject.law.nyu.edu/ & https://civiljuryproject.law.nyu.edu/about/directors/.

of trial such as time limits and jury innovations, including juror questions, early instructions to the jury, and interim arguments.

Of interest, Steve's commitment to addressing commercial litigation trial work in the 21st century and the aftermath of the vanishing trial was not new. He developed a set of Pretrial Agreements that his namesake firm, Susman Godfrey, proposed to opposing counsel.<sup>25</sup> Steve's initial inspiration merits repetition.

Because I was blessed by being involved only in complex commercial cases and with good opposing counsel, I was able to develop a set of Pretrial Agreements that my firm has been proposing to opposing counsel for over a decade . . . .

\*\*\*

The key to the efficacy of such a Pretrial Agreement has always been to attempt to reach agreement on as many of these items as possible before discovery begins. Once you are in the heat of battle, what appears to be good for one side is often deemed to be bad for the other; therefore, it is hard to reach agreement at that point.<sup>26</sup>

Steve's Pretrial Agreements were so effective that the concept continued to evolve and he created a list of possible Trial Agreements,<sup>27</sup> which culminated in a working website appropriately named Trial By Agreement,<sup>28</sup> where these agreements can be found and debated among trial lawyers. Trial by Agreement is a way of "reduc[ing] expense, stress and many of the uncertainties that are associated with pretrial rulings and jury trials."<sup>29</sup>

xiv

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25.</sup> Sofia Adrogué & Hon. Caroline Baker, *Texas Business Litigation*, About This Book (2019 Ed.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26.</sup> See https://trialbyagreement.com/category/pretrial-agreements/. See also Sofia Adrogué & Hon. Caroline Baker, Litigation in the 21st Century: The Jury Trial, The Training & The Experts—Musings & Teachings from David J. Beck, Lisa Blue, Melanie Gray & Stephen D. Susman, The Advocate, Vol. 56, p. 16 (Fall 2011), available at www. litigationsection.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27.</sup> See https://trialbyagreement.com/agreements/trial-agreements-made-easy/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28.</sup> See https://trialbyagreement.com/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29.</sup> See https://trialbyagreement.com/about/about-trial-agreements/.

Steve's approach to litigation was principled, competitive, and pragmatic.

I truly believe that Trial Agreements are worthy of full discussion among experienced trial lawyers and judges well in advance of pretrial. My attitude is to take whatever agreements I can get—the idea being that any such agreements advance the ball and make pretrial and trial more professional and efficient, not to mention making trial more understandable to the jury. Trial by Agreement is a way of reducing expense, stress and the uncertainty of pretrial rulings and a jury trial.<sup>30</sup>

#### Training Young Lawyers in an Era of Fewer Jury Trials

Another arena of his imprimatur is the teaching and training of young lawyers; indeed, he mentored and sponsored even before such terms were in vogue. State-of-the-art programs like the Young Lawyers in the Courtroom Program perfectly demonstrate that, as always, what Steve promoted, he delivered—he walked his talk.

In this time of 'vanishing' trials, I feel like an old dinosaur hunter. There is no need to teach those skills to youngsters if there are no dinosaurs around. That said, I do think there are many opportunities for young lawyers to practice their litigation skills by participating in mock trials. We also have a rule at our firm that any lawyer that works on a case is entitled to stand-up time at the trial. We can only teach by sharing the limited trial experiences that we have. Jurors love to see a young lawyer get opportunities to question witnesses.<sup>31</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30.</sup> Sofia Adrogué & Hon. Caroline Baker, *Litigation in the 21st Century: The Jury Trial, The Training & The Experts—Musings & Teachings from David J. Beck, Lisa Blue, Melanie Gray & Stephen D. Susman*, The Advocate, Vol. 56, p. 16 (Fall 2011), *available at* www. litigationsection.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31.</sup> Sofia Adrogué & Hon. Caroline Baker, *Litigation in the 21st Century: The Jury Trial, The Training & The Experts—Musings & Teachings from David J. Beck, Lisa Blue, Melanie Gray & Stephen D. Susman*, The Advocate, Vol. 56, p. 16 (Fall 2011), *available at* www. litigationsection.com.

Steve advanced the much debated and scrutinized "roadmap for reform"<sup>32</sup> for our 21st century civil justice system. As his colleagues so poignantly noted in the August Newsletter of the Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, "[h]e crisscrossed the country at his own expense to talk to trial attorneys, trial judges, and most importantly, jurors."<sup>33</sup> He "advanced jury innovations" and "was a champion of and a cheerleader for . . . 'the purest, fairest, most inclusive and robust expression of direct democracy that the world has ever seen." <sup>34</sup>

Aware of these enigmatic times, the April 2020 Newsletter of the Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, opened with Steve's strikingly prophetic observations. "The Covid-19 pandemic has accelerated courts' turning to technology in order to deliver justice. It will have far reaching effects for all of us—and for our justice system." Steve also appropriately remarked that the list of considerations of "moving from the physical courtroom to a courtroom in cyberspace is long," including the following brilliant Susmanesque inquiries: (i) constitutional concerns of having jurors deliberate remotely; (ii) would this decrease or increase costs for an already burdened system?; and (iii) would a virtual trial deliver the same quality of justice?

Steve vehemently believed that juries are the views of the community and sacrosanct; thus, he invited his team, including his Judicial Director Mark A. Drummond (ret.) to survey and analyze how a virtual trial would work. As a result, the Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, armed with several hundred judicial and academic advisors and Steve's gravitas, turned the focus to best practices for virtual jury trials.

To be clear, a virtual trial for Steve, Judge Drummond and the Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, like for all of us, is not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32.</sup> Sofia Adrogué & Hon. Caroline Baker, *Texas Business Litigation*, About This Book (2019 Ed.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33.</sup> Hon. Mark A. Drummond (ret.), *Opening Statement*, Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, Vol. 5 Issue 8 (Aug. 2020), *available at* https://myemail.constantcontact.com/August-Newsletter-of-the-Civil-Jury-Project.html?soid=1127815376566&aid=00MI2 2a82UQ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34.</sup> Hon. Mark A. Drummond (ret.), *Opening Statement*, Civil Jury Project at NYU School of Law, Vol. 5 Issue 8 (Aug. 2020), *available at* https://myemail.constantcontact.com/August-Newsletter-of-the-Civil-Jury-Project.html?soid=1127815376566&aid=00MI2 2a82UO.

the same as being there. Steve created his legacy being there; now the mission is to anticipate what is next and determine how best to move efficiently and safely through this global pandemic and beyond.

We have no other option. Preservation of the right to jury trial is the key. Regardless of how individual states decide to tackle the challenges of this new world, it is clear that proactive communication and consistent reassurance will be necessary to maintain public confidence and maximize participation in the jury process.

Here's to authentic, empathetic, realistic, belligerent optimism as we seek to navigate, innovate, and litigate in this "new normal" emulating the joie de vivre of Steve. Speaking about Susman Godfrey's democratic structure and culture as well as his professional legacy, Steve's words are immensely moving and spot on.

I want them to say. He was very fair. He was very honest. He loved to play... And he was very proud of doing things the right way. The moral way. The ethical way. And I have been. I have been.

Here's to our Super Sus, our *Sui Generis* Stephen D. Susman. He epitomized *Carpe Diem*.

May he rest in peace.

Sofia Adrogué, Editor & Caroline Baker, Co-Editor July 2021

			ispute Resolution—Issues	
in l				
1-1			N	
1-2	LAW (	GOVERNI	NG MEDIATION IN TEXAS	3
	1-2:1	The Prod	cess in Texas	3
	1-2:2		ilitator	
	1-2:3	Texas Co	ourts Ethical Guidelines for Mediators	6
	1-2:4		sues in Mediation	
		1-2:4.1	Enforceability of a Mediated	
			Settlement Agreement	11
		1-2:4.2	The Mediation Privilege	
1-3	LAW (	GOVERNI	NG ARBITRATION IN TEXAS	16
	1-3:1	The Fed	eral Arbitration Act	17
		1-3:1.1	Ambit of the Federal	
			Arbitration Act	22
		1-3:1.2	Preemption of State Law	22
		1-3:1.3	Remedies Under the Federal	
			Arbitration Act	23
	1-3:2	The Texa	as Arbitration Act	24
		1-3:2.1	Ambit of the Texas Arbitration Act	26
		1-3:2.2	Remedies Under the Texas	
			Arbitration Act	26
	1-3:3	Ascertai	ning Which Law Applies	27
		1-3:3.1	When Agreement Specifies	
		1-3:3.2	When Agreement Does Not Specify	28
		1-3:3.3	Common Law	
		1-3:3.4	Preemption of State Law	29
1-4	<b>ENFO</b>	RCEMEN	T OF ARBITRATION AGREEMENT	35
	1-4:1	Parties S	bubject to Agreement	35
		1-4:1.1	State Contract Law	
		1-4:1.2	Signature Requirements	37
	1-4:2	Within S	Scope of Valid Agreement	

1-5	DEFENSES TO ENFORCEMENT OF ARBITRATION						
	<b>AGREI</b>	EEMENT					
	1-5:1	No Agree	ement		39		
	1-5:2	Invalid A	greement		40		
		1-5:2.1	Fraud		40		
		1-5:2.2	Unconscio	nability	41		
			1-5:2.2a	Substantive			
				Unconscionability	41		
			1-5:2.2b	Procedural			
				Unconscionability			
		1-5:2.3	Illusory A	greement	43		
	1-5:3	Claim Ou	itside Agreei	ment's Scope	44		
	1-5:4	Waiver			44		
		1-5:4.1	Substantia	l Invocation of Judicial			
			Process		45		
		1-5:4.2	Prejudice.		46		
1-6				ATION IN TRIAL			
	AND A	AND APPELLATE COURTS					
	1-6:1				47		
	1-6:2			Available for Denial			
		of Motio	n to Compe	l Arbitration	47		
	1-6:3			of Trial Court's Denial			
				l Arbitration			
	1-6:4			s			
1-7	THE A	HE ARBITRATION					
	1-7:1	The Arbi					
		1-7:1.1		f Arbitrators			
		1-7:1.2		of Arbitrators	50		
		1-7:1.3		volvement in Selection			
		1-7:1.4		cation of Arbitrator			
	1-7:2						
		1-7:2.1		e Discovery	55		
		1-7:2.2		volvement in Arbitral			
	1-7:3	Decision	to Request a	a Transcript of Proceedings	56		
	1-7:4			nctions			
1-8				RATION AWARD			
	1-8:1	How to C		ırd	58		
		1-8:1.1		w Agreement Contemplated			
		1-8:1.2	Arbitral A	ward Must be Final	59		

		1-8:1.3	Attachmei	nts	DY	
		1-8:1.4	Ruling on	Motion When No Response		
			Filed		60	
	1-8:2	Where to	File Motion	1	60	
	1-8:3	Procedura	al Deadlines	S	62	
		1-8:3.1		rts		
		1-8:3.2		ourts		
	1-8:4					
		1-8:4.1		of Judicial Review		
		1-8:4.2		efined by Contract		
1-9	CHAL			TRATION AWARD		
	1-9:1			o Challenging Award		
		1-9:1.1		ng Finality of Award		
		1-9:1.2	Where to 1	File Challenge	68	
		1-9:1.3	Procedura	l Deadlines	70	
			1-9:1.3a	State Courts		
			1-9:1.3b	Federal Courts		
	1-9:2	Scope of	Scope of Review			
		1-9:2.1		Judicial Review		
		1-9:2.2		efined by Contract		
	1-9:3					
		1-9:3.1		on of Award		
		- ,	1-9:3.1a	FAA		
			1-9:3.1b	TAA		
		1-9:3.2		Award		
		1 7.0.2	1-9:3.2a	FAA Statutory Grounds		
			1-9:3.2b	Judicially Created Exception		
				to the Enforcement		
				of Arbitration Awards		
				Under the FAA	76	
			1-9:3.2c	TAA Statutory Grounds		
			1-9:3.2d	Common Law Grounds		
			1 7.0.20	Under Texas law	78	
Chan	ter 2: Ant	itrust		•••••	81	
2-1						
2-2						
	2-2:1			e and Antitrust Act of 1983		
		2-2:1.1				
		2-2:1.2				
		2-2:1.3	-	erms		

	2-2:2	Overlap V	Vith Federal	Law	34
		2-2:2.1	Sherman A	ct	34
		2-2:2.2	Clayton Ac	t8	35
		2-2:2.3		Patman Act	
		2-2:2.4	Federal Tra	de Commission Act	36
	2-2:3	Exemptio	ns and Immu	anity8	36
2-3	UNLA	WFUL PR	ACTICES	8	39
	2-3:1	Monopol	ies	8	39
		2-3:1.1	Monopoliza	ation8	39
			2-3:1.1a	Monopoly Power	
				in Relevant Market	
			2-3:1.1a(i)	Relevant Market	
			2-3:1.1a(ii)	Market Power	)3
			2-3:1.1b	Willful Acquisition,	
				Maintenance, or Use	
				of Power	
		2-3:1.2	-	Monopolize9	)5
			2-3:1.2a	Predatory or Anticompetitive	
				Conduct	
			2-3:1.2a(i)	Economic Feasibility	
			2-3:1.2a(ii)	Price Below Cost	8(
			2-3:1.2b	Specific Intent to	
				Monopolize	)9
			2-3:1.2c	Dangerous Probability of	
				Achieving Monopoly Power9	
		2-3:1.3		to Monopolize10	)()
			2-3:1.3a	Specific Intent to	
				Monopolize10	)()
			2-3:1.3b	Combination or Conspiracy	
			2 2 1 2	to Achieve Monopoly10	) [
			2-3:1.3c	Overt Acts in Furtherance	
				of Combination	. 1
	2 2 2	G 1:		or Conspiracy10	
	2-3:2			raint of Trade10	
		2-3:2.1		and Vertical Combinations 10	)4
		2-3:2.2	~ .	er Se Versus the Rule	
				10	
			2-3:2.2a	Per Se Violations	
		2 2.2 2	2-3:2.2b	Rule of Reason Analysis 10	
		2-3:2.3		ound To Be Illegal10	
			2-3:2.3a	Price-Fixing10	Jδ

			2-3:2.3b	Market Allocation	
				Agreements 109	
			2-3:2.3c	Resale Price Maintenance	
				Agreements 109	
			2-3:2.3d	Tying Arrangements110	
			2-3:2.3e	Price Discrimination112	
			2-3:2.3f	Exclusive Dealerships112	
			2-3:2.3g	Group Boycotts114	
	2-3:3	Covenant	ts Not to Co	mpete115	
		2-3:3.1	Ancillary t	to Or Part of Otherwise	
			Enforceable	le Agreement118	
			2-3:3.1a	Non-Solicitation	
				Covenants121	
			2-3:3.1b	Non-Disclosure Covenants 123	
		2-3:3.2	Shifting B	urden of Establishing	
			Compliano	ce With the Act124	
		2-3:3.3		eness125	
			2-3:3.3a	Time127	
			2-3:3.3b	Territory128	
			2-3:3.3c	Scope of Activity129	
			2-3:3.3d	Protection of Goodwill or	
				Business Interest130	
		2-3:3.4	Enforceme	ent130	
		2-3:3.5	Reformation	on130	
		2-3:3.6	Availabilit	y of Costs and	
			Attorney's	Fees	
2-4	ANTI	ΓRUST RE	MEDIES A	ND DEFENSES 133	
	2-4:1	Scope			
	2-4:2	Monetary	y Damages		
		2-4:2.1		d After" and "Yardstick"	
			Measures	of Lost Profits136	
		2-4:2.2	No Double	e Recoveries Under Federal	
			and State	Laws137	
	2-4:3	Injunctive	e Relief	138	
	2-4:4	Declarate	ory Judgmen	ıt139	
		2-4:4.1		ents for Declaratory	
				Complaint140	
		2-4:4.2		ons of Declaratory Judgments 140	
	2-4:5	Attorney		Costs141	
		2-4:5.1		of Plaintiff's Fees141	
		2-4:5.2		of Defendant's Fees142	
	2-4:6	Prejudgm	gment Interest.		

	2-4:7	Treble Da	mages		143	
	2-4:8	Defenses.				
		2-4:8.1		Defenses		
		2-4:8.2	Defenses U	nder Antitrust Law	145	
2-5	PRIVAT	ΓE CAUSE	S OF ACTIO	ON	145	
	2-5:1	Jurisdictio	n		145	
	2-5:2					
	2-5:3					
	2-5:4					
	2-5:5					
		2-5:5.1		ct		
		2-5:5.2		jury		
		2-5:5.3		ntiff Status		
	2-5:6			ractice		
	2-5:7			ti-District Proceedings		
		2-5:7.1		Class Actions		
		2-5:7.2		ırt Class Actions		
		2-5:7.3 Multi-District Proceedings				
	2-5:8			ered in Actions Brought		
	2-5:9			ns		
2-6						
	2-6:1		-	nands		
		2-6:1.1				
		2-6:1.2		Requirements of Demand	160	
			2-6:1.2a	Protections to Person		
				Receiving Demand	161	
			2-6:1.2b	Required Content of	1.71	
			0 ( 1 0	Demand		
		0 ( 1 0	2-6:1.2c	Product of Discovery		
		2-6:1.3		Available		
			2-6:1.3a	Petition for Relief	162	
			2-6:1.3b	Means of Compliance	1.00	
			2 ( 1 2	With Demand	163	
			2-6:1.3c	Petition to Compel		
				Compliance	1.65	
		2 ( 1 4	D: 1	by Attorney General	165	
		2-6:1.4		of Information Obtained	1.65	
				Process	105	
			2-6:1.4a	Statutory Exceptions to		
				Rule Against	1//	
				Non-Disclosure	166	

			2-6:1.40	Disclosure for Good	
				Cause	166
			2-6:1.4c	Other Obligations Owed	
				by Attorney General	167
	2-6:2	Governn	nental Civil	Suits	168
		2-6:2.1	Suit to Co	ollect Civil Fine	168
		2-6:2.2		ijunctive Relief	
		2-6:2.3		nd State Actions by Attorney	
					169
	2-6:3	Criminal			
	2-6:4			ninal Prosecution	
2-7					
Chan	ter 3: Ba	nkruptev R	amifications	in Business Litigation Cases	173
3-1					
	3-1:1			Relief Available	
		3-1:1.1			
		3-1:1.2		1	
		3-1:1.3		ailable Chapters	
			3-1:1.3a	Chapter 13	
			3-1:1.3b	Chapter 12	
			3-1:1.3c	Chapter 9	
			3-1:1.3d	Chapter 15	
		3-1:1.4		on Between Chapters	
		0 11111	3-1:1.4a	By the Debtor	
			3-1:1.4b	By a Party in Interest	
			3-1:1.4c	Effect on Creditors	
			3-1:1.4d	Conversion to Chapter 7	
	3-1:2	The Part		Conversion to Chapter 7	
	· 1.2	3-1:2.1		or	
		0 11211	3-1:2.1a	Role in Chapter 7 Cases	
			3-1:2.1b	Role in Chapter 11 Cases	
			3-1:2.1c	Role in Other Chapters	
		3-1:2.2		or in Possession	
		3 1.2.2	3-1:2.2a	Duties and Responsibilities	
			3-1:2.2b	Right to Operate	105
			3 1.2.20	Business	186
		3-1:2.3	The Trust	ee	
		5 1.2.5	3-1:2.3a	Chapter 7 Trustee	
			3-1:2.3b	Chapter 11 Trustee	
			3-1:2.3c	Chapter 13 Trustee	
		3-1:2.4		ommittees	
		J-1.4.4	Jincial C	01111111111003	100

			3-1:2.4a	Unsecured Creditors'				
				Committee	188			
			3-1:2.4b	Equity Security Holders'				
				Committee				
			3-1:2.4c	Other Committees	190			
		3-1:2.5	Estate Pro	fessionals	190			
			3-1:2.5a	Debtor's Counsel	191			
			3-1:2.5b	Special Counsel	191			
			3-1:2.5c	Chief Restructuring Office	r191			
			3-1:2.5d	Examiner				
			3-1:2.5e	Other Professionals	192			
		3-1:2.6	The Office	of the United States Trustee	193			
			3-1:2.6a	Role in Chapter 7 Cases	193			
			3-1:2.6b	Role in Chapter 11 Cases.	193			
	3-1:3	The Ban	kruptcy Esta	ıte	194			
		3-1:3.1	Property of	of the Estate	194			
		3-1:3.2	Exempt P	roperty	195			
		3-1:3.3	Excluded	Property	195			
		3-1:3.4	Property A	Acquired After Filing	196			
	3-1:4	Conteste	d Matters ar	nd Adversary Proceedings	196			
		3-1:4.1	Relief Ava	ilable Only by Adversary				
			Proceedin	g	196			
		3-1:4.2		Matters				
		3-1:4.3		e Rules of Procedure				
		3-1:4.4	Arbitratio	n and Mediation	198			
3-2	THE A	THE AUTOMATIC STAY						
	3-2:1	Scope			200			
		3-2:1.1	Commend	ement of the Bankruptcy				
		3-2:1.2		Covered				
		3-2:1.3		vered				
		3-2:1.4		rohibited				
	3-2:2	Effect on		tigation	202			
		3-2:2.1		Debtor Is the Sole				
				t	202			
		3-2:2.2	When the	Debtor Is One of Several				
				ts	— - —			
		3-2:2.3		Debtor Is a Plaintiff				
		3-2:2.4		cruptcy Appeals				
	3-2:3	Relief Fr						
		3-2:3.1		n				
			3-2:3.1a	Grounds	204			

			3-2:3.1b	Procedural Issues	205
		3-2:3.2	By Operat	ion of Law	206
		3-2:3.3	Consequer	nces of Violation of the Stay	206
			3-2:3.3a	Actions Made Void	206
			3-2:3.3b	Damages and Attorney's	
				Fees	207
		3-2:3.4	Issues Spec	cific to Litigation	207
			3-2:3.4a	Insurance	207
			3-2:3.4b	Status of Existing	
				Litigation	208
			3-2:3.4c	Jury Trial	208
3-3	JURIS	DICTION		-	208
	3-3:1	Scope of	Bankruptcy	Court Jurisdiction	209
		3-3:1.1	Core Matt	ers	209
		3-3:1.2	Non-Core	Matters	209
		3-3:1.3	Personal J	urisdiction	210
			3-3:1.3a	Scope	210
		3-3:1.4	Service of	Process	211
	3-3:1.5		Postconfir	mation Jurisdiction in	
			Chapter 11	l Cases	211
	3-3:2	Constitu	tional Issues		212
		3-3:2.1	Article III	v. Article I Judges	212
		3-3:2.2		Order of Reference	
			3-3:2.2a	Scope of Order	213
			3-3:2.2b	Withdrawal of Reference	213
			3-3:2.2c	Effect of Withdrawal	
			3-3:2.2d	Procedure	214
			3-3:2.2e	Strategic Considerations	214
	3-3:3	Addition	al Constituti	ional Issues	
		3-3:3.1	The Stern	Question	216
		3-3:3.2		by Courts	
		3-3:3.3		Considerations	
3-4	REMO	OVAL AND	REMAND		218
	3-4:1	Statutory	Basis for Re	emoval	218
	3-4:2	Procedur	e For Remov	val	219
		3-4:2.1	Pleadings .		219
		3-4:2.2		es	
		3-4:2.3			
	3-4:3	Abstentio			
		3-4:3.1		y Abstention	
		3-4:3.2		n on Other Grounds	
		3-4:3.3	Procedura	l Issues	221

	3-4:4	Remand		221
		3-4:4.1	Distinguished From Abstentic	on222
		3-4:4.2	Grounds for Remand	222
3-5	<b>APPE</b>	ALS		222
	3-5:1	Right to	Right to Appeal	
		3-5:1.1	Final Orders	
		3-5:1.2	Interlocutory Appeals	223
	3-5:2	Appellate	Court	
		3-5:2.1	District Court	224
			3-5:2.1a Timeline	224
			3-5:2.1b Standard of Review	ew226
		3-5:2.2	Circuit Court of Appeal	226
			3-5:2.2a Appeal From Dis	
			Court	226
			3-5:2.2b Direct Appeal	
	3-5:3	Preservin	g Rights on Appeal	227
		3-5:3.1	Equitable Mootness	
		3-5:3.2	Stay Pending Appeal	
			3-5:3.2a Procedure	
			3-5:3.2b Bonding Consider	rations228
3-6	INVOI	LUNTARY	BANKRUPTCY	229
	3-6:1	Procedur	al Requirements	229
		3-6:1.1	Number of Petitioning Credite	ors229
		3-6:1.2	Aggregate Amount of Claims	230
		3-6:1.3	Bona Fide Disputes	
	3-6:2	Debtor's	Response	231
		3-6:2.1	Consent to Entry of Order for	Relief 231
		3-6:2.2	Contested Petition	
	3-6:3	Gap Peri	od	232
		3-6:3.1	Automatic Stay in Effect	232
		3-6:3.2	Continuation of Business	232
		3-6:3.3	Treatment of Gap Period Clai	ms233
	3-6:4	Hearing	on Petition	233
		3-6:4.1	Elements to Be Proven	233
		3-6:4.2	Burden of Proof	233
	3-6:5	Consider	ations for Petitioning Creditors.	234
		3-6:5.1	Practical Effect of Involuntary	Filing 234
		3-6:5.2	Availability of Damages for B	ad
			Faith Filing	234
3-7	PRESE	ERVING C	LAIMS AGAINST A DEBTOR	
	IN BA	NKRUPTO	Y	235
	3-7:1	Proof of	Claim	235

		3-7:1.1	Bar Date		235
		3-7:1.2	Preparing a	nd Filing the Proof of	
			Claim		.236
			3-7:1.2a	Official Form	.236
			3-7:1.2b	Specific Types of Claims	.236
			3-7:1.2c	Unsecured Claims	.237
			3-7:1.2d	Secured Claims	.237
			3-7:1.2e	Priority Claims	.237
			3-7:1.2f	Administrative Claims	
			3-7:1.2g	Where and How to File	.238
			3-7:1.2h	Service	.238
		3-7:1.3	Consequen	ces of Not Filing a Claim	.238
			3-7:1.3a	Chapter 11	.238
			3-7:1.3b	Chapter 7	.239
			3-7:1.3c	Strategic Reasons for Not	
				Filing a Claim	.239
		3-7:1.4	Untimely C	laims	.240
			3-7:1.4a	Lack of Notice	.240
			3-7:1.4b	Excusable Neglect	.241
			3-7:1.4c	Other Circumstances	.241
	3-7:2	Claims Ob	ojection Proc	ess	.241
		3-7:2.1	Objection to	o a Claim	.241
			3-7:2.1a	Standing	.242
			3-7:2.1b	Timing	.242
		3-7:2.2	Defending a	a Claim	.242
			3-7:2.2a	Responding to the	
				Objection	
			3-7:2.2b	Burden of Proof	
			3-7:2.2c	Discovery	. 244
			3-7:2.2d	Hearing	
	3-7:3	Objection	to Discharg	e	. 244
		3-7:3.1		cable to Individuals	
		3-7:3.2		jection to Discharge	. 245
		3-7:3.3	Objection to	o Discharge of Specific	
			Claims		. 245
		3-7:3.4	Practical Co	onsiderations	. 246
	3-7:4	Claims Co	overed by Ins	surance	.247
3-8			LAIMS BEL		
	TO THI				. 248
	3-8:1		of Disclosur		
		in Schedul			
		3-8:1.1	Effect of N	on-Disclosure	.248

		3-8:1.2	Remedies		249
	3-8:2	Preservat	ion Under Ch	napter 11 Plan	
				ent	249
3-9	AVOIDANCE ACTIONS				249
	3-9:1	Preference	es		250
		3-9:1.1		lements	
			3-9:1.1a	Look-Back Period for	
				Insiders	251
			3-9:1.1b	Presumption of Insolvency.	
		3-9:1.2			
	3-9:2				
	5 7.2	3-9:2.1		ruptcy Code	
		3-9:2.2		Law	
	3-9:3				
	0 7.0	3-9:3.1		dgment Creditor	
		3-9:3.2		ona Fide Purchaser for	200
		0 7.0.2			253
	3-9:4 Avoidable Liens				
3-10				AND UNEXPIRED	200
					. 254
	3-10:1			y Contract	
	3-10:2		Debtor's Right to Assume or Reject		
		3-10:2.1		l	
		0 101211	1	Effect of Assumption	
			3-10:2.1b		
			0 10 <b>.2.</b> 10	Assumption	. 256
			3-10:2.1c	Right to Assign to a	
			0 10. <b>2.</b> 11	Third Party	257
		3-10:2.2	Rejection		
		0 101212	3-10:2.2a		
			3-10:2.2b		
	3-10:3	Actions A		ounterparties and Lessors	
	2 10.2	3-10:3.1		Compel Assumption or	
		0 101011			. 259
			3-10:3.1a	Procedure	
			3-10:3.1b	Factors to Consider	
		3-10:3.2		onnection With Assumption.	
		3-10:3.3		onnection With Rejection	
3-11	DISMI				
- 11	3-11:1				
		3-11:1.1		ed Filing	
		3-11:1.2			
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·

		3-11:1.3	Serial Filings	262
	3-11:2	Voluntary	Dismissal	263
	3-11:3	Effect of I	Dismissal	263
3-12	POST-B	ANKRUP	TCY ISSUES	263
	3-12:1	Effect of t	he Permanent Injunction	264
	3-12:2		a Chapter 11 Plan	
	3-12:3		ng a Bankruptcy Case	
3-13	PRACT		NSIDERATIONS	
	FOR A	TTORNEY	S	264
	3-13:1		nces of a Client's Bankruptcy Filing	
		3-13:1.1	Authority to Represent the Client	
			Post-Petition	265
		3-13:1.2	Attorney-Client Privilege	
		3-13:1.3	Automatic Stay	
	3-13:2	Representi	ing the Debtor Post-Petition	
		3-13:2.1	Retention by the Estate	
			3-13:2.1a Special Counsel	
			3-13:2.1b Ordinary Course	
			Professional	267
		3-13:2.2	Fee Arrangements	
		3-13:2.3	Getting Paid by the Estate	
	3-13:3	Protecting	Your Fees.	
		3-13:3.1	Retainers	
		3-13:3.2	Avoidance Actions	268
		3-13:3.3	Additional Considerations	
Chant	on A. Duos	oh of Fidua	ciary Duty Claims in the Commercial	
			nary Duty Claims in the Commercial	271
4-1	0		[	
4-2			CLAIM	
<b>T</b> -2	4-2:1		of a Fiduciary Relationship	
	4-2:2		the Fiduciary Duty	
	4-2:3		t's Breach Resulted in Injury	
4-3			CIARY DUTIES	
<b>4</b> -3	4-3:1		uties Arising Out	211
	7-3.1		ry Relationships	278
		4-3:1.1	Duty of Loyalty	
		<b>⊤</b> -J.1.1	4-3:1.1a Entire Fairness	
			4-3:1.1b Application of the Entire	210
			Fairness Test	270
		4-3:1.2	Duty of Candor	
		<b>⊤</b> -J.1.∠	4-3:1.2a Fraud by Non-Disclosure	
			4-5.1.2a Fraud by Noll-Disclosure	∠00

		4-3:1.3		count for Benefits280
		4-3:1.4	Duties of C	Good Faith and Fair Dealing281
		4-3:1.5	Duties of C	Care and Competence281
4-4	SOURC	CES OF FI	DUCIARY I	RELATIONSHIPS282
	4-4:1	Formal Fi	iduciary Rela	ationships282
		4-4:1.1	Attorney-C	lient Relationship282
			4-4:1.1a	Rule Against
				"Claim Splitting"283
			4-4:1.1b	Associate Attorneys284
		4-4:1.2	Principal-A	gent
		4-4:1.3		neficiary285
		4-4:1.4		a General Partnership285
			4-4:1.4a	Partner Duties of Loyalty 287
			4-4:1.4b	Partner Duties of Care287
			4-4:1.4c	Limits of Partnership
				Duties288
		4-4:1.5	General Par	rtner in a Limited
			Partnership	289
			4-4:1.5a	Duties of a General
				Partner
		4-4:1.6	Corporate I	Directors and Officers289
			4-4:1.6a	Duties to Shareholders290
			4-4:1.6b	Exculpation of Governing
				Persons291
			4-4:1.6c	Limited Liability
				Companies292
			4-4:1.6d	Interested Party
				Transactions293
		4-4:1.7	Family Rela	ationships294
		4-4:1.8	Employer/E	Employee
	4-4:2	Informal	Fiduciary Re	elationships296
		4-4:2.1	Acquisition	of Influence296
		4-4:2.2	Factors Sup	pporting a Confidential
			Relationshi	p297
		4-4:2.3	Confidentia	l Relationships Must Precede
				ged Transaction298
		4-4:2.4	Questions of	of Law and Fact in Connection
			with Confid	lential Relationships298
		4-4:2.5	Informal Fi	duciary Relationships in
			Closely-Hel	ld Entities299
4-5	COMM	ION CLAI	MS ARISIN	G OUT OF BREACHES OF
	FIDUC	CIARY DU	TIES	300

	4-5:1	Self-Deali	ng	300
		4-5:1.1	Entire Fairness Rule	301
			4-5:1.1a Interested Transactions	
			Involving Officers and	
			Directors	301
	4-5:2	Misrepres	entation/Breach of Duty of Candor	
	4-5:3		of Action for Shareholder Oppression	
		4-5:3.1	Protections Afforded Minority	505
		1 3.3.1	Shareholders in Closely Held	
			Corporations	304
	4-5:4	Knowing	Participation in a Breach of	504
	т-Э.т		Duty	305
	4-5:5		V	
4-6			y	
4-0	4-6:1		ve Defenses	
	4-0.1	4-6:1.1	Limitations	
		4-6:1.1	Statute of Frauds	
		4-6:1.3	Release/Waiver	
	1.60	4-6:1.4	Ratification	309
	4-6:2		Protections for Officers	200
4.5	DEL CEI		rning Persons	
4-7				
	4-7:1		images	
	4-7:2		ive or Resulting Trust	
	4-7:3	•	Forfeitures	311
		4-7:3.1	Factors Governing Disgorgement	212
	4-7:4	Danainaiam	of Fees	
	4-7:4 4-7:5		L	
			nip	
	4-7:6		y Damages	313
		4-7:6.1	Standard of Proof for Exemplary	214
4.0			Damages	
4-8	PATTE	RNJURY	CHARGES	315
			nmercial Cases: Avoiding Icebergs	
5-1			<b>1</b>	317
5-2	GENER	RAL RULE	ES OF EVALUATING BUSINESS	
	<b>CASES</b>			319
	5-2:1	A Systema	atic Approach to Case Evaluation	319
	5-2:2		es for Case Evaluation	
5-3	COMM		L ISSUES THAT ARISE	
			TION OF COMMERCIAL CASES	
			TEXAS	326

	5-3:1	Texas Rules on Arbitration	326
	5-3:2	Motion to Dismiss Practice in Texas	328
	5-3:3	Shareholder Oppression	329
	5-3:4	Negligent Misrepresentation in Texas	
	5-3:5	Claims Against Attorneys	331
	5-3:6	Claims Against Auditors	331
	5-3:7	Holder Claims	333
	5-3:8	Setting Aside Releases	334
	5-3:9	Breach of Fiduciary Duty	336
	5-3:10	Tortious Interference with Prospective	
		Business Relations	337
5-4	CONC	LUSION	338
CHA	PTER 5-	-APPENDIX A: Sample Case Acceptance Memo	340
Chapt	ter 6: Cor	ntorts	347
6-1		DUCTION	348
6-2		RACT OR TORT—WHAT	
		ERENCE DOES IT MAKE?	
	6-2:1	Recoverable Damages	
		6-2:1.1 Attorney's Fees	350
		6-2:1.2 Actual Damages: Pure Economic	
		Loss vs. Personal Injury or Loss to	
		Tangible Personal Property	350
		6-2:1.3 Punitive and Mental Anguish	
		Damages	
		6-2:1.4 Consequential Damages	351
	6-2:2	Venue	352
		6-2:2.1 All or Substantial Part of Cause of	
		Action	
	6-2:3	Statutes of Limitations	353
	6-2:4	Personal Jurisdiction	
	6-2:5	Can a Party Pursue Both Remedies?	
6-3		VIEW	
	6-3:1	History of the Concept of Contorts	
	6-3:2	Source of Duty Test	
	6-3:3	Contract Undertaking Can Impose Tort Liability	
	6-3:4	Economic Loss Rule	
	6-3:5	Independent Injury Test	
	6-3:6	Impact of Risk Allocation	
6-4		RACTS	
	6-4:1	Sanctity of the Contract	
	6-4:2	Formation Issues	369

	6-4:3				
	6-4:4	Strangers	and Contrac	ctual Privity	.370
	6-4:5	Role of P	rivity of Cor	ntract	.371
	6-4:6	Risk Allo	cation Between	een Contracting Parties	.372
	6-4:7	Good Fai	ith and Fair l	Dealing	.373
6-5	APPLI	CABLE CA	AUSES OF A	ACTION	.373
	6-5:1				
	6-5:2			Component Parts	
	6-5:3			for Product Defect	
	6-5:4	Warrantie			
		6-5:4.1		n of UCC	
		6-5:4.2		arranties	.376
		6-5:4.3		arranties—Created by Law,	
				ntract remedies?	
	6-5:5				
	6-5:6			nt	
	6-5:7				
	6-5:8	DTPA			
	6-5:9			ntation	
6-6					
	6-6:1	Insurance	e Contracts a	nd Duty of Good Faith	. 383
CI.			•	D 1 / D 1	20.5
7-1				Regulatory Proceedings	
7-1 7-2					
1-2	7-2:1			Directors	
	7-2.1	7-2:1.1		are	
		7-2.1.1	7-2:1.1a	Business Judgment Rule	
			7-2.1.1a 7-2:1.1b	Contractual Limitations on	. 300
			7-2.1.10	Liability	200
			7-2:1.1bi	Exculpation	
			7-2.1.1bi 7-2:1.1bii	Renunciation	
			7-2.1.1biii 7-2:1.1biii	Shareholders' Agreements	
		7-2:1.2		yalty	
		/-2.1.2	7-2:1.2a	Safe Harbor From Duty	. 391
			/-2.1.2a		202
		7-2:1.3	Duty of Ol	of Loyalty	202
		7-2.1.3			. 333
		7_2.1 /	Dermissible		
		7-2:1.4		Reliance on Others	. 393
	7_2.2	7-2:1.5	Texas vs. D	Reliance on Otherselaware Fiduciary Duties	. 393 . 394
	7-2:2 7-2:3	7-2:1.5 Fiduciary	Texas vs. D	Reliance on Others belaware Fiduciary Duties hareholders	.393 .394 .395
	7-2:2 7-2:3 7-2:4	7-2:1.5 Fiduciary Fiduciary	Texas vs. Do Duties of Sin Duties in L	Reliance on Otherselaware Fiduciary Duties	.393 .394 .395 .396

	7-2:5	Fiduciary	Duties of Of	fficers	397
7-3	<b>TYPES</b>			ST DIRECTORS AND	
					398
	7-3:1			ate Opportunities—	
				rtunity Doctrine"	
				nsactions	398
		7-3:1.1	Ratification		399
		7-3:1.2			
	7-3:2	Waste of C		ssets	
	7-3:3			tion	
	7-3:4			curities Exchange	
					401
		7-3:4.1			
		7-3:4.2		isrepresentation	
		7-3:4.3		·····	
		7-3:4.4		tion With" the Purchase	
					402
		7-3:4.5		d Causation	
	7-3:5	Individual		Shareholders	
		7-3:5.1		Derivative Suits	
		7-3:5.2		s. Direct Actions	
		7-3:5.3		emedies	
		7-3:5.4		tatutes Regulating Derivati	
		7-3:5.5		Status and Contemporane	
		7-3:5.6		erger	
		7-3:5.7		mand	
		7-3:5.8		gation Committees	
		7-3:5.9		fused	
		7-3:5.10	Discovery L	imitations	409
		7-3:5.11	Judicial Rev	view of Settlements	410
		7-3:5.12	Texas vs. De	elaware Derivative Suit	410
			7-3:5.12a	Fiduciary Duties	410
			7-3:5.12b	Applying the Business	
				Judgment Rule	411
			7-3:5.12c	Demand Requirements	
			7-3:5.12d	Derivative Actions Brough	
				On Behalf Of Closely Hel	
				Texas Corporations	
7-4	MINOR	RITY SHAI	REHOLDEI	R OPPRESSION CLAIM	
	7-4:1	Definition	and History	·	412
			-		

	7-4:2	Standing	414	
	7-4:3	Basis for Claim	414	
		7-4:3.1 Oppressive Action—Toward a New		
		Understanding	416	
		7-4:3.2 Receivership and Liquidation	417	
		7-4:3.3 Other Remedies	417	
	7-4:4	Texas vs. Delaware Oppression Claims	418	
7-5	INSPE	CTION OF BOOKS AND RECORDS	418	
	7-5:1	By Governing Persons	419	
	7-5:2	By Shareholders	419	
7-6	LIABII	LITY TO THIRD PARTIES	421	
	7-6:1	Contractual Liability	421	
	7-6:2	Tortious Liability	423	
	7-6:3	Creditor Liability	423	
7-7	REGU	LATORY INVESTIGATIONS		
		PROCEEDINGS		
7-8		MNIFICATION		
7-9	INDEN	MNIFICATION & ADVANCEMENT	426	
	7-9:1	Mandatory Indemnification	426	
	7-9:2	Permissive Indemnification		
	7-9:3	Court-Ordered Indemnification		
	7-9:4	Advancement of Expenses	429	
7-10	INSUR	RANCE	429	
	7-10:1	Insurance Types		
	7-10:2	Excess Insurance		
	7-10:3	Retention and Co-Insurance		
	7-10:4	Insurance Application Issues		
	7-10:5	Notice Issues		
	7-10:6	Defense Issues and Duty of Cooperation		
	7-10:7	Policy Language		
	7-10:8	Exclusions		
		7-10:8.1 Dishonesty or Conduct Exclusion		
		7-10:8.2 Prior Act Exclusion		
		7-10:8.3 Prior and Pending Litigation Exclusion	on 436	
		7-10:8.4 Particular Acts Exclusion		
		7-10:8.5 Other Policy Exclusions	436	
		7-10:8.6 Catastrophic Hazards Exclusion	437	
		7-10:8.7 Bump-Up Exclusion		
		7-10:8.8 Insured vs. Insured Exclusion	437	
	7-10:9	Insurance in Bankruptcy	438	

Chapt	er 8: Crin	ninal Law ir	ı Business To	rts Cases	.439
8-1					
8-2	WHY C	OMPANIE	ES SELF RE	PORT	.451
	8-2:1	DOJ's Prin	nciples of Co	rporate Prosecution	.453
	8-2:2			Agreements	.455
8-3	THE SC	COPE AND	IMPACT C	F THE FIFTH	
	AMENI				.456
	8-3:1			You Have a "Criminal	
	8-3:2	Dealing W		es	.457
		8-3:2.1		Between the Company	
				ployees	.457
		8-3:2.2	<i>Upjohn</i> , Sep	arate Counsel & Joint	
		8-3:2.3		Iemo	
	8-3:3				
	8-3:4			endment	
		8-3:4.1		ess, Not His Lawyer	
		8-3:4.2		Question	
		8-3:4.3		by Proceeding	
		8-3.4.4		ot Invoked	.466
	8-3:5	The Scope of the Fifth Amendment: "Any Link			
		in the Chain"4			
	8-3:6	Applies to "Act of Production"			
	8-3:7				
	8-3:8				
	8-3:9			ment on Civil Case	.472
		8-3:9.1		erences (Including Against	
		8-3:9.2		vil Proceeding	.474
			8-3:9.2a	Overlapping Facts Is the	
			0.0.01	Main Criterion	.475
			8-3:9.2b	A Stay Avoids Improper	
				Sharing of Discovery	
				Between the Civil Plaintiffs	
				and the Government's	456
			0.2.0.2	Criminal Prosecution	
0.4	ODCER	I IOTIONI (	8-3:9.2c	Balancing of Interests	
8-4				94-4-4-	
	8-4:1			Statutes	
		8-4:1.1		1512c	
		8-4:1.2	18 U.S.C. §	1513	.4/8

		8-4:1.3	Witness Tampering	478
	8-4:2	Don't La	wyers Obstruct Justice?	479
	8-4:3	Crime-F	raud Exception	480
		8-4:3.1	The "In Furtherance" Test	482
		8-4:3.2	Crime-Fraud Under Texas Law	485
8-5	CONC	LUSION		486
Chap	oter 9: Da	mages		487
9-1	INTRO		N	
	9-1:1		ng the Action By Theory of Recovery	
9-2			MAGES	
	9-2:1		ice	
	9-2:2		and Amount	
	9-2:3	General	Damages	490
	9-2:4	Special I	Damages	491
9-3	DAMA	AGES ANI	D DISCOVERY	492
	9-3:1	Discover	able Materials	493
	9-3:2		re	
9-4	GENE		ATEGIES FOR PROVING DAMAGES	
	9-4:1	Using La	ny Witnesses	496
	9-4:2	Using Ex	xpert Witnesses	
		9-4:2a	Expert Testimony	497
		9-4:2b	Challenging the Other Side's Damages	
			Expert	498
9-5	CONT	RACT DA	MAGES	499
	9-5:1		ncy or "Benefit of the Bargain" Damages	
	9-5:2	Special of	or Consequential Damages	
		9-5:2.1	Lost Profits	501
			9-5:2.1a Reasonable Certainty	
			9-5:2.1b Proving Lost Profits	
		9-5:2.2	Cost of Delay	
		9-5:2.3	Cost of Substitute Performance	
		9-5:2.4	Loss of Credit Reputation	
		9-5:2.5	Loss of Financing	
		9-5:2.6	Loss of Goodwill	
	9-5:3	Reliance	or "Out-of-Pocket" Damages	
		9-5:3.1	Calculating Out-of-Pocket Expenses	506
	9-5:4	Restituti	on Damages	506
	9-5:5		Damages Under the Uniform	
			cial Code	
	9-5:6	Liquidat	ed Damages	
		9-5:6.1	Validity	509

	9-5:6.2	Liquidated	d Damages and the UCC	509	
9-5:7	Damages				
9-5:8	Mitigatio	n	•••••	510	
	9-5:8.1	Duty to M	litigate	510	
	9-5:8.2				
9-5:9	Right of	Offset		511	
9-5:10	Attorney	's Fees	•••••	512	
TORT	DAMAGE	S	•••••	513	
9-6:1	Compens	atory Dama	iges	513	
9-6:2	Damages	-Related Co	onsiderations in Frequently		
	9-6:2.1	Negligent	Misrepresentation	514	
		9-6:2.1a	Reliance Damages	514	
	9-6:2.2	Fraud			
		9-6:2.2a	Reliance Damages	515	
		9-6:2.2b	Benefit of the Bargain		
			Damages	515	
		9-6:2.2c	Other Recoverable		
			Amounts	515	
	9-6:2.3	Tortious In	nterference With Contract	516	
	9-6:2.4	Breach of	Fiduciary Duty	517	
		9-6:2.4a	Actual Damages	517	
		9-6:2.4b	Equitable Relief	518	
		9-6:2.4bi	Constructive Trusts	518	
		9-6:2.4bii	Forfeiture/Disgorgement		
			of Fees	518	
	9-6:2.5	Misapprop	oriation of Trade Secrets	519	
		9-6:2.5a	Injunctive Relief	519	
		9-6:2.5b	Value to the Plaintiff	520	
		9-6:2.5c	Benefit to the Defendant/		
			Value of the Secret	520	
		9-6:2.5d	Reasonable Royalty	521	
	9-6:2.6	Violation of	of Covenants Not to		
		Compete.		522	
		9-6:2.6a	Monetary Damages	522	
		9-6:2.6b	Injunctive Relief	522	
	9-6:2.7	Business I	Disparagement, Defamation,		
		and Sland	er of Title	523	
		9-6:2.7a	General Damages	524	
		9-6:2.7b	Special Damages	524	
		9-6:2.7c	Presumed Damages	525	
	9-6:2.8	Patent Inf	ringement	526	
	9-5:8 9-5:9 9-5:10 TORT 9-6:1	9-5:7 Damages 9-5:8 Mitigatio 9-5:8.1 9-5:8.2 9-5:9 Right of 9-5:10 Attorney TORT DAMAGE 9-6:1 Compens 9-6:2 Damages Litigated 9-6:2.1 9-6:2.2  9-6:2.3 9-6:2.4  9-6:2.5	9-5:7 Damages for Breach 9-5:8 Mitigation	9-5:7 Damages for Breach of Warranty	

			9-6:2.8a	Reasonable Royalty	526
			9-6:2.8b	Lost Profits	527
			9-6:2.8c	The Entire Market Value	
				Rule	527
		9-6:2.9	Trademarl	Infringement	528
			9-6:2.9a	Statutory Damages	528
			9-6:2.9b	Lost Profits	
		9-6:2.10	DTPA Vio	lations	530
			9-6:2.10a	Economic Damages	530
			9-6:2.10b	Mental Anguish	
			9-6:2.10c	Additional Damages	
			9-6:2.10d	Tie-In Statutes	
	9-6:3	Economi	c Loss Rule		532
9-7	DAMA	GES TO R	REAL PROP	PERTY	532
	9-7:1	Temporar	y v. Perman	ent	532
	9-7:2		•		
9-8	ONE S			3	
9-9	COLLA	ATERAL S	SOURCE RU	JLE	534
9-10	ATTOI	RNEY'S F	EES		535
	9-10:1			·	
		9-10:1a		Practice and Remedies Code.	
		9-10:1b	Contract.		536
		9-10:1c	Equity		537
	9-10:2	Prerequis		overy	
		9-10:2a	Claimant 1	Has Stated a Claim for Fees .	538
		9-10:2b	Claimant 1	Is Entitled to Recover	
			Attorney's	Fees	538
		9-10:2c	Claimant 1	Is Represented by Counsel	540
		9-10:2d		Incurred Attorney's Fees	
	9-10:3	Reasonal	oleness		541
	9-10:4	Proving A	Attorney's Fo	ees	542
9-11	PUNIT	IVE DAM	IAGES		544
	9-11:1	Awarded	to Penalize	the Defendant	544
	9-11:2	Chapter 4	41 of the Civ	vil Practice and Remedies	
		-			544
	9-11:3	Condition	ns for Recov	ery	545
		9-11:3.1		Requirement	
		9-11:3.2		mages Required	
		9-11:3.3		d Conduct Required	
			9-11:3.3a	Fraud	
			9-11:3.3b	Malice	546
			9-11:3.3c	Gross Negligence	547

	9-11:4		Liability for Punitive Damages	547
	9-11:5	No Joint a	and Several Liability for Punitive	
		Damages.		547
	9-11:6	Trying Ex	emplary Damages	548
		9-11:6.1	Bifurcating the Trial	548
		9-11:6.2	Proving Aggravated Conduct	548
		9-11:6.3	Calculating Punitive Damages	549
		9-11:6.4	Unanimous Jury Verdict	550
9-12	NOMI	NAL DAM	AGES	550
9-13	THE JU	JRY CHAF	RGE	550
9-14	EXCES	SIVE OR I	NADEQUATE	
	DAMA	GES AWA	RDS	552
9-15	PREJU	DGMENT	INTEREST	553
	9-15:1	When Rec	coverable	553
	9-15:2	Application	on	554
	9-15:3	Calculatin	g Prejudgment Interest	555
9-16	POST J	UDGMEN	IT INTEREST	555
	9-16:1	When Rec	coverable	555
	9-16:2		on	
	9-16:3	Calculatin	g Post Judgment Interest	556
9-17			-	556
9-18	REJEC	TED SETT	LEMENT AGREEMENT—	
	LITIG	ATION CO	STS	557
	9-18:1	"Significa	ntly Less Favorable"	557
	9-18:2	"Litigatio	n Costs"	557
	9-18:3	Requirem	ents of Settlement Offer	558
	9-18:4	Limitation	ns on Litigation Costs	558
		9-18:4.1		558
		9-18:4.2	Cannot Exceed Recovery	558
		9-18:4.3	No Double Recovery	559
Chapt	er 10: Di	scovery		561
10-1			1	
10-2			TE OF AMENDMENTS	
10-3			OVERY	
	10-3.1		nality in Discovery	
	10-3:2		ly Tailored Discovery	
	10-3:3		ts and Court Limitations on Discovery	567
10-4		/	G AND SEQUENCE OF	
	10-4:1		ms of Discovery	
	10-4:2	Timing an	nd Sequence of Discovery	568

10-5	DISCO'	VERABLE ITEMS	568
	10-5:1	Documents and Tangible Things	568
	10-5:2	Persons with Knowledge of Relevant Facts	569
	10-5:3	Trial Witnesses	570
	10-5:4	Testifying and Consulting Experts	
	10-5:5	Witness Statements	570
	10-5:6	Indemnity and Insurance Agreements	
	10-5:7	Settlement Agreements	571
	10-5:8	Contentions	572
10-6		NG DISCLOSURES, DISCOVERY REQUESTS,	
	RESPO	NSES AND OBJECTIONS	
	10-6:1	Signing Disclosures	573
	10-6:2	Signing Discovery Requests, Notices, Responses	
		or Objections	573
10-7	FILINO	G DISCOVERY MATERIALS	
	10-7:1	Discovery Materials Not Filed	
	10-7:2	Discovery Materials Filed	
	10-7:3	Service of Discovery Materials	
10-8	RESPO	NDING TO WRITTEN DISCOVERY	
	10-8:1	The Mechanics	
		10-8:1.1 Restate the Request	
		10-8:1.2 Respond	
		10-8:1.3 Object	
		10-8:1.4 No Objection for Privilege	
	10-8:2	Waiver & Good Cause	
	10-8:3	Time Periods for Responses	
10-9	PROTE	CTIVE ORDERS	
	10-9:1	Motion	
	10-9:2	Court Order	578
10-10		DING OR SUPPLEMENTING WRITTEN	
		VERY	
	10-10:1	_ = == = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	579
		Form and Verification	
	10-10:3	8	
	10-10:4		
		Sanctions for Failure to Supplement or Amend	
		Certain Responses Not Admissible	
10-11		TING PRIVILEGES	
		The Basics	
		Withholding Statements	
	10-11:3		
	10-11:4	In Camera Inspections	584

	10-11:5	Burden of Proof and Hearings	585
	10-11:6	Inadvertent Disclosure of Privileged Information	
		Snap-Back Provision	586
10-12	PRIVIL	LEGES	587
	10-12:1	Work Product	587
		10-12:1.1 General Rule	587
		10-12:1.2 In Anticipation of Litigation	588
		10-12:1.3 Core and Non-Core Work Product	588
		10-12:1.4 Certain Materials Are Not Work	
		Product	589
	10-12:2	Attorney-Client Privilege	590
		10-12:2.1 The Privilege	
		10-12:2.2 Subject Matter Test for Corporations	
	10-12:3	Clergy Privilege	590
	10-12:4		
		10-12:4.1 The Rule	
		10-12:4.2 Limitations	591
	10-12:5	Trade Secret Privilege	591
	10-12:6	Mental Health Privilege	592
10-13		VERY PLANS	
	10-13:1	The Proper Discovery Control Plan	593
	10-13:2	Level 1 Discovery	594
	10-13:3	Level 2 Discovery	595
	10-13:4	Level 3 Discovery	595
10-14	REQUI	RED DISCLOSURES	596
	10-14:1	Expert Disclosures	596
	10-14:2	Expert's Communications with the Lawyer Are	
		Protected From Disclosure	597
	10-14:3	Draft Expert Reports or Draft Expert	
		Disclosures Are Protected From Discovery	597
		No Work Product Objections to Disclosures	598
10-15	REQUE	ESTS FOR PRODUCTION	
		CUMENTS	
	10-15:1	Documents and Tangible Things From Parties	598
	10-15:2	Documents and Tangible Things From	
		Non-Parties	598
	10-15:3	Hearing on Objections	599
		Self-Authentication of Documents	
10-16	DISCO	VERY OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION	600
		Procedure	
	10-16:2	Litigation Hold or Preservation Letters	
		10-16:2.1 When the Duty to Preserve Arises	602

	10-16:2.2 Contents of Litigation Holds	602
	10-16:2.3 Handling Litigation Holds	603
	10-16:3 Discovery of Social Media	604
	10-16:4 Objections to Social Media Discovery	
10-17	ENTRY ON LAND	606
	10-17:1 Specification of Request and Order	
	10-17:2 Response	
10-18	INTERROGATORIES	
	10-18:1 General Use	
	10-18:2 Number of Interrogatories	
	10-18:3 Interrogatories Not Used for Expert Discovery	
	10-18:4 Responses and Business Record Option	
	10-18:5 Verification	
10-19	REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS	
	10-19:1 General Use	
	10-19:2 Number of Request for Admissions	
	10-19:3 Responding to Requests	
	10-19:4 Effect of Deemed Admissions	
	10-19:5 Use at Trial or in Motions	
10-20	DEPOSITIONS	
	10-20:1 Oral Depositions	612
	10-20:2 Conduct During Oral Depositions	
	10-20:3 Waiver and Hearing	
	10-20:4 Time Limitations	
	10-20:5 Deposition of Organization	
	10-20:6 Deposition on Written Questions	
	10-20:7 Depositions in Foreign Jurisdictions	
	10-20:8 Apex Depositions	
	10-20:9 Use of Depositions in Trials or Hearings	
	10-20:10 Reviewing Deposition Transcripts	
	10-20:11 Depositions Before Suit	
	10-20:11.1 Purpose	
	10-20:11.2 The Petition	
	10-20:11.3 When Allowed	619
10-21	PHYSICAL AND MENTAL EXAMINATIONS	
	10-21:1 Timing and Service	
	10-21:2 Good Cause	
	10-21:3 Order of Examination	
	10-21:4 Report of Examination	621
	DISCOVERY FROM NON-PARTIES	
10-23	MANDAMUS ON DISCOVERY ISSUES	
	10-23:1 Standard for Mandamus Relief	623

			g Mandamus-Party Seeking Discovery	
	10-23:3	Preserving	g Mandamus-Non-Discovering Party	624
	10-23:4	Mandamı	ıs Granted	624
		10-23:4.1	Apex Depositions	624
		10-23:4.2	Depositions Before Suit	
		10-23:4.3		
			In Assessing Privilege	625
		10-23:4.4	0	
			Devices	625
		10-23:4.5	Ordering the Discovery of Privileged	
			Information	626
		10-23:4.6	Trial Court Failed to Undeem	
			Admissions	626
		10-23:4.7	Trial Court Denial of Physical and	
			Mental Examinations	626
		10-23:4.8	Trial Court Improperly Orders Entry	
			Upon Land	626
10-24	DISCO	VERY SAN	NCTIONS	627
	10-24:1	Conduct (	Giving Rise to Sanctions	627
	10-24:2	Purpose		627
	10-24:3	Limitation	ns on Death Penalty Sanctions	629
	10-24:4	Procedure	and Timing	629
	10-24:5	Non-exclu	usive Sanctions Under Rule 215	630
Chant	or 11. En	nlovmont I	Law Litigation	622
11-1	TEVAS	ipioyment i	SION ON HUMAN	033
11-1				635
	11-1:1			
	11-1:1		Discrimination	
	11-1.2	11-1:2.1	Disparate Treatment	
		11-1:2.1		
		11-1:2.2		
		11-1:2.3	Discriminatory Harassment	
		11 1 2 4	Harassment	
		11-1:2.4	Disability Discrimination	
			11-1:2.4a Disability	
		11 1 2 2	11-1:2.4b Failure to Accommodate	
	11 1 2	11-1:2.5	Retaliation	
	11-1:3		ent	
		11-1:3.1	Procedure	
		11-1:3.2	Statute of Limitations	647

	11-1:4	Remedies	648
		11-1:4.1 Compensatory and Exemplary	
		Damages	649
		11-1:4.2 Mitigation of Damages	
		11-1:4.3 After-Acquired Evidence	650
	11-1:5	Related Federal Laws	651
		11-1:5.1 Title VII of the Civil Rights Act	
		of 1964	651
		11-1:5.2 Age Discrimination in	
		Employment Act	654
		11-1:5.3 Americans with Disabilities Act	655
		11-1:5.4 Family Medical Leave Act	656
		11-1:5.5 Equal Pay Act	658
11-2	WHIST	TLEBLOWER PROTECTION	660
	11-2:1	Sabine Pilot	660
	11-2:2	Texas Whistleblower Act	
11-3	WORK	ERS COMPENSATION RETALIATION	663
	11-3:1	Non-Subscribers	664
	11-3:2	Absence Control Policies	665
	11-3:3	Causation	
	11-3:4	Circumstantial Evidence	666
	11-3:5	Statute of Limitations	667
	11-3:6	Damages	
11-4	<b>EMPLO</b>	OYMENT AT-WILL	668
	11-4:1	Judicially Created Exceptions	669
	11-4:2	Common Law Exceptions	670
		11-4:2.1 Employment Contracts	670
		11-4:2.2 Tort Claims	670
	11-4:3	Good Faith and Fair Dealing	671
	11-4:4	Federal and State Statutory Exemptions	671
11-5		OYMENT TORTS	
	11-5:1	Breach of Fiduciary Relationship	672
	11-5:2	Tortious Interference with an Employment	
		Contract	
	11-5:3	Tortious Interference with a Prospective Contract	
	11-5:4	Intentional Inflection of Emotional Distress	676
	11-5:5	Defamation	
		11-5:5.1 First Amendment Consideration	679
		11-5:5.2 Defenses	
		11-5:5.3 Damages	
	11-5:6	Conspiracy	682
	11-5:7	Negligence	683

		11-5:7.1 Negliger	nt Misrepresentation	684
		11-5:7.2 Negliger	nt Hiring, Retention, Supervisi	on,
		and Tra	ining	686
		11-5:7.2	_	
		11-5:7.2		
	11-5:8	Covenants Not-to-	Compete	
			on of Texas Non-Compete	
			ents	689
			ration	
		11-5:8.3 What ar	e Reasonable Time, Scope and	
			ohic Restraints?	
			es	
	11-5:9		nti-Raiding Agreements	
	11-5:10		onfidentiality Agreements	
11-6				
	11-6:1	Overview		696
	11-6:2	Express Contracts.		697
	11-6:3			
	11-6:4		oks	
	11-6:5		of Good Faith and Fair	
				699
	11-6:6			
Chapt	er 12: Ex	pert Witnesses		701
12-1				
12-2	ADMIS	SION OF EXPERT	TESTIMONY	703
	12-2:1	Core Admissibility	Requirements	703
	12-2:2		••••••	
	12-2:3		Expert Testimony	
	12-2:4		ourt	
	12-2:5	Standard of Review	w on Appeal	706
12-3	RELEV	ANCE		707
	12-3:1	General Rule		707
	12-3:2	Balancing Test: Re	levant Evidence May Still Be	
		Excluded		708
	12-3:3	Irrelevant Testimor	ıy	709
12-4	QUALI		PERTS	
	12-4:1	Qualifications		711
	12-4:2			
	12-4:3	Related to Facts at	Issue	713
12-5	RELIA	BILITY		714
	12-5:1	General Rule		714

	12-5:2	Foundati	onal Reliabil	lity	715
	12-5:3	Reliability	y of Method	lology	716
	12-5:4			'Test	
	12-5:5	Reliability	y of Applica	tion of Methodology	720
	12-5:6	Role of th	ne Trial Cou	rt	720
12-6	APPRO			FOR EXPERT	
					721
	12-6:1			quired	
	12-6:2			mitted	
		12-6:2.1		ssues	
		12-6:2.2	Mixed Que	estions of Law and Fact	722
	12-6:3	Expert Te	estimony No	t Permitted	723
		12-6:3.1	Questions	of Law	723
		12-6:3.2	Issues of C	General Knowledge and	
			Experience	·	724
12-7	EXPER	RT TESTIN	MONY IN B	USINESS LITIGATION	725
	12-7:1	Introduct	ion		725
	12-7:2	Liability.			725
		12-7:2.1	Contracts.		
			12-7:2.1a	$\mathcal{E}$	
			12-7:2.1b	$\mathcal{E}$	
		12-7:2.2		Duty: Standard of Care	
			12-7:2.2a	Generally	
			12-7:2.2b	Professional Standard of	
				Care	
	12-7:3				
		12-7:3.1		Calculations	
		12-7:3.2		S	
		12-7:3.3		nation Issues	
		12-7:3.4		Fees	
12-8				ESTIMONY	
	12-8:1			esignation of Expert	
	12-8:2				
		12-8:2a		ert Motion	734
		12-8:2b		Whether to File a	
				otion	735
		12-8:2c	Challengin	g Experts on	
				Judgment	
	12-8:3				
	12-8:4				
	12-8:5	Issues on	Appeal		737

12-9	<b>FACTS</b>	OR DATA	RELIED ON BY EXPERTS	739
	12-9:1	Expert Ne	eed Not Have Personal Knowledge	739
	12-9:2	Disclosure	e of Facts or Data Underlying Expert	
		Opinion		741
		12-9:2.1	Underlying Facts Need Not Be	
			Disclosed	741
		12-9:2.2	Voir Dire	741
		12-9:2.3	Admissibility of Underlying Facts or	
			Data Used to Support or Explain	
			Opinion	742
		12-9:2.4	Limiting Instructions	744
	12-9:3		May Be Excluded If Underlying Facts	
			cient Basis for Expert's Opinion	
12-10			OF EXPERTS	
	12-10:1	Direct Exa	amination of Experts	744
			mination of Experts	
12-11	<b>TYPES</b>	OF EXPE	RTS	747
			Experts	
	12-11:2		g Expert	
			Generally	
			Consulting-Only Expert	749
		12-11:2.3	Consulting Expert Whose Work Has	
			Been Reviewed	749
		12-11:2.4	Consulting Expert With First-Hand	
			Knowledge of Facts in the Case	
			pointed Expert	
12-12			EXPERTS	
	12-12:1		on Discoverable	
			Testifying Experts	751
		12-12:1.2	Consulting Experts Whose Mental	
			Impressions Have Been Reviewed by a	
			Testifying Expert	
			Consulting-Only Expert	754
		12-12:1.4	2 3 1	
			Obtained First-Hand Knowledge of	
			Facts Related to the Case	755
	12-12:2		Obtaining Discovery From Retained	
			Experts	755
		12-12:2.1	1	
			Disclosures	
			Oral Deposition	
		12-12:2.3	Reports	758

			12-12:2.3a	Expert Reports in Texas
				Courts
			12-12:2.3b	Expert Reports in Federal
				Court758
	12-12:3			From Other Experts759
				d Testifying Experts759
		12-12:3.2	U	Experts Whose Mental
				s Have Been Reviewed760
				f Experts761
	12-12:5	_		rs761
				761
		12-12:5.2		Designation763
	12-12:6			nenting Responses to
				763
				763
				xperts764
				d Experts765
	12-12:7			th Discovery Rules765
				Jnder Texas Rules766
		12-12:7.2	Sanctions U	Jnder Federal Rules767
_				presentation769
13-1				769
	13-1:1	•		769
	13-1:2			770
		13-1:2.1		Vicarious Liability)770
		13-1:2.2		f Agent Acted With Actual
				nt Authority771
		13-1:2.3		f Conduct of Agent
				771
		13-1:2.4		n Tortious Conduct772
		13-1:2.5		Disregard773
		13-1:2.6		of the Fraud776
	13-1:3			776
		13-1:3.1		ments of Opinion Are False
				tions in Limited
			Circumstan	ices777
			13-1:3.1a	Defendant Knows Opinion
				Is False778
			13-1:3.1b	Opinion Is Based On
				Defendant's Superior
				Knowledge778

			13-1:3.1c	Opinion Is Based On False Facts779
		13-1:3.2	False Prom	uses of Future Performance
		15 1.5.2		779
		13-1:3.3		esentations by Conduct
		15 1.5.5		to False Statement of Fact 780
13-2	CALISE	S OF ACT		
13 2	13-2:1			
	13 2.1	13-2:1.1		
		13-2:1.2	•	erial Representation781
		13-2:1.3		s State of Mind
		13 2.1.3	13-2:1.3a	Knowledge
			13-2:1.3b	Recklessness
		13-2:1.4		
		13 2.1.4	13-2:1.4a	Defendant Intends Plaintiff
			13 2.1.4a	Will Rely Upon Falsity of
				Representation
			13-2:1.4b	Actual Reliance 784
			13-2:1.4c	Justifiable Reliance
			13-2:1.4d	Plaintiff's Personal
			10 2.11.10	Characteristics and
				Abilities785
			13-2:1.4e	Plaintiff's Knowledge of
				Facts
	13-2:2	Fraudulent Inducement		
	13 2.2	13-2:2.1		
		13-2:2.2		erial Representation787
		13-2:2.3		s State of Mind788
		13 2.2.3	13-2:2.3a	
			13-2:2.3b	Recklessness
		13-2:2.4		
		13 2,2,4	13-2:2.4a	Defendant Intends Plaintiff's
			13 2.2.4a	Reliance Or Had Reason to
				So Expect
			13-2:2.4b	Justifiable Reliance
			13-2:2.4c	Plaintiff's Personal
			13-2.2.40	Characteristics and
				Abilities790
			13-2:2.4d	Plaintiff's Knowledge of
			1 <i>3</i> -2.2. <b>T</b> U	Facts790
	13-2:3	Frand by	Non-Disclos	sure, Omission,
	15-4.5	-		791
			u11110111	

	13-2:3.1	Generally.		792
	13-2:3.2		Facts	
		13-2:3.2a	No Liability Where	
			Plaintiff Executes Contract	
			Without Reading Same	793
	13-2:3.3	Duty to D	isclose	
	13-2:3.4		Inowledge	
	13-2:3.5		's Knowledge	
	13-2:3.6		's State of Mind	
	13-2:3.7		on Non-Disclosure, Omission,	
			lment	
			Nonperformance Insufficient	
			to Show Intent Not to	
			Perform At Time Contract	
			Is Executed	796
13-2:4	Statutory		eal-Estate and Stock	
				796
	13-2:4.1			
	13-2:4.2		's Conduct	
		13-2:4.2a		
		13-2:4.2b	<u> </u>	
		13-2:4.2c		
			Party's False Representation	n
			Or Promise	
	13-2:4.3	Reliance		
		13-2:4.3a		
			Reliance Or Had Reason to	)
			So Expect	799
		13-2:4.3b	Actual Reliance	
13-2:5	Negligent	t Misreprese	ntation	799
	13-2:5.1			
	13-2:5.2		Defendants	
	13-2:5.3	Plaintiff's 1	Prerequisites	802
		13-2:5.3a	Plaintiff Is Person Or	
			Member of Class of	
			Persons Whom Defendant	
			Intended to Benefit Or	
			Whom Defendant Knew O	r
			Should Have Known Would	d
			Receive Information	802

			13-2:5.3b	Showing That Defendant's Pecuniary Interest	
				Was Involved in	
				Misrepresentation	802
			13-2:5.3c	Showing That Defendant	,02
			13 2.3.30	Supplied False Information	
				for Guidance of Others 8	202
		13-2:5.4	False Repre	esentation8	
		13-2.3.7	13-2:5.4a	Silence and Failure to	,05
			13-2.3. <del>4</del> a	Disclose Creates Liability	
				Only When There Is a	
				Duty to Disclose	203
			13-2:5.4b	Future Promises Not	,05
			13-2.3.40	Actionable	203
		13-2:5.5	Failure to F	Exercise Reasonable Care 8	
		13-2:5.6		8	
13-3	CALISA			8	
13-3				PRESUMPTIONS8	
13-4	_			S8	
13-3	13-5:1			8	
	13-5:1			8	
	13-3.2	13-5:2.1		Legal Or Equitable	,00
		13-3.2.1		8	207
		13-5:2.2		etary Remedies	
		13-3.2.2	13-5:2.2a	Direct Damages	
			13-5:2.2a 13-5:2.2a1	Direct Damages—Damages	)U /
			13-3.2.2a1	Were Foreseeable	309
			13-5:2.2a2	Consequential Damages—	
				Fraud Proximately	
				Caused the Damages 8	309
			13-5:2.2a3	Exemplary Damages8	
		13-5:2.3	Equitable F	Remedies8	
		13-5:2.4		8	
		13-5:2.5	Court Cost	s8	311
		13-5:2.6	Attorney's	Fees8	311
13-6	DEFE	NSES	•••••	8	311
	13-6:1	Fraud De	fenses	8	312
		13-6:1.1	Limitations	s8	312
		13-6:1.2	Contractua	ıl Disclaimer8	313
		13-6:1.3	Merger Cla	use8	314
		13-6:1.4	-	8	
		13-6:1.5		Fault Or Negligence8	

		13-6:1.6	Statute of 1	Frauds	816
		13-6:1.7		1	
		13-6:1.8	Knowledge	e of Falsity/Concealed Facts	817
		13-6:1.9	Religious E	Belief	817
	13-6:2	Negligent	t Misrepreser	ntation Defenses	818
		13-6:2.1	Limitations	s	818
		13-6:2.2	Plaintiff's I	Education	819
		13-6:2.3	Immunity.		820
		13-6:2.4	Plaintiff's I	Fault Or Negligence	820
		13-6:2.5	Statute of 1	Frauds	821
		13-6:2.6	Economic-	Loss Rule	821
		13-6:2.7	Inferential	Rebuttals	822
		13-6:2.8	First Amer	ndment	822
Chap	ter 14: Int	tellectual P	roperty and T	Trade Secrets Litigation	823
14-1					
14-2					
	14-2:1			atutory Sources	
	14-2:2			e Considerations	
	14-2:3	Claim Co			
		14-2:3.1		inciples	
		14-2:3.2		s Function Claims	
	14-2:4				
		14-2:4.1		ringement	833
		14-2:4.2	0 -	nt Under the Doctrine of	
				s	
	14-2:5	Indirect I			
		14-2:5.1		fringement	
		14-2:5.2		ry Infringement	
	14-2:6				
	14-2:7		_		
	14-2:8	Defenses			
		14-2:8.1			839
			14-2:8.1a		
				Matter	839
			14-2:8.1b	Anticipation	841
			14-2:8.1c	Obviousness	841
			14-2:8.1d	Other Grounds for	
				Invalidity	842
		14-2:8.2	Unenforcea	ability	
		14-2:8.3		stricted as a Defense	
	14-2:9	Remedies			845

		14-2:9.1	Damages	845
			14-2:9.1a Lost Profits	
			14-2:9.1b Reasonable Royalty	846
		14-2:9.2	Injunctions	
			14-2:9.2a Preliminary Injunctions	
		14-2:9.3	Permanent Injunctions	
		14-2:9.4	Exceptional Cases	
14-3	COPYI		TIGATION	
	14-3:1		Protected by the Copyright Laws?	
		14-3:1.1	Originality	
		14-3:1.2	Fixation	
		14-3:1.3	Idea vs. Expression	
		14-3:1.4	Special Categories of Works	
		14-3:1.5	Derivative Works	
		14-3:1.6	Formalities—Notice and Registration	
			Requirement	855
		14-3:1.7	Duration and Renewal Rules	
		14-3:1.8	Exclusive Federal Jurisdiction	
	14-3:2		nent Claims	857
		14-3:2.1	Ownership	
		14-3:2.2	Copying	
		14-3:2.3	Substantial Similarity	
		14-3:2.4	Protected Rights	860
		14-3:2.5	Right to Perform Publicly	
	14-3:3	Contribu	tory Infringement	
	14-3:4			
		14-3:4.1	Fair Use	
		14-3:4.2	First Sale	864
		14-3:4.3	New Technological Uses	
		14-3:4.4	Safe Harbors for Online Service	
			Providers (OSPs)	864
	14-3:5	Remedies	S	
		14-3:5.1	Injunctions	865
			14-3:5.1a Preliminary Injunctions	865
			14-3:5.1b Permanent Injunctions	865
			14-3:5.1c Compulsory Licensing	
		14-3:5.2	Statutory Damages	
		14-3:5.3	Actual Damages	
		14-3:5.4	Infringer's Profits	
		14-3:5.5	Criminal Liability	
		14-3.56	Statute of Limitations	

14-4	TRAD	EMARK L	ITIGATION	. 868
	14-4:1	Sources of	Protection	.869
	14-4:2	Federal Re	equirements	.869
		14-4:2.1	The Lanham Act Definition of	
			Trademark	.869
		14-4:2.2	Distinctiveness Requirement	
			(Classifications of Marks)	.870
		14-4:2.3	Registration	
	14-4:3	Texas Trac	demark Act	.874
	14-4:4	Loss of R	ights (Genericism)	.875
	14-4:5	Infringem	ent Claims	.876
		14-4:5.1	Likelihood of Confusion	
		14-4:5.2	Dilution	.879
		14-4:5.3	Contributory Infringement	
	14-4:6	Defenses .		.881
		14-4:6.1	Fair Use/Use in Limited Area	.881
		14-4:6.2	Fraudulent Acquisition	.883
		14-4:6.3	Abandonment	.883
	14-4:7	Remedies		.884
		14-4:7.1	Injunctions	.884
		14-4:7.2	Actual Damages	
		14-4:7.3	Infringer's Profits	
		14-4:7.4	Remedies Under the Texas	
			Trademark Act	.886
14-5	TRAD	E SECRET	LITIGATION	.886
	14-5:1	Source of	Protection	.886
	14-5:2	Definition	of a Trade Secret	.887
	14-5:3	Secrecy		.889
	14-5:4		priation	
	14-5:5		•••••	
			Injunctions	
		14-5:5.2		
	14-5:6	Statute of	Limitations	
	14-5:7		servation of Trade Secrets—Participation	
			lings	
	14-5:8		ft Liability Act	
Chan	ter 15: Ft	hics		200
15-1			1	
15-1			S	
15-2			es vs. ABA Model Rules	

		15-2:1.1	Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professiona	I
			Conduct vs. American Bar Association	
			Model Rules	
	15-2:2		of Texas Grievance Procedures	
		15-2:2.1	Procedures Before the State Bar of Texa	
			Grievance Committees	902
		15-2:2.2	Choosing the Evidentiary Panel	
			of the Grievance Committee	
		15-2:2.3	Choosing a Trial in District Court	
	15-2:3	Traps and	Common Violations	
		15-2:3.1	Ethical Duties to the Client	
			15-2:3.1a Confidential Information	908
			15-2:3.1b Turning Over the Client's	
			File	
		15-2:3.2	Trust Account Issues	911
		15-2:3.3	Conflicts of Interest with Clients and	
			Former Clients	
		15-2:3.4	Representing Multiple Clients	
		15-2:3.5	Dealing with Non-Clients	
		15-2:3.6	Fees	
		15-2:3.7	Advertising	918
	15-2:4		Conflicts	
	15-2:5		t of Ethical Rules in Litigation	
	15-2:6	Resources	Available to the Practicing Lawyer	922
15-3	PRIVIT		ON-CLIENT EXPOSURE	
	15-3:1	Formation	n of the Attorney-Client Relationship	923
	15-3:2	Non-Clien	nts Who May Sue a Lawyer	924
	15-3:3	Assignme	nts of Legal Malpractice Claims	926
	15-3:4	The Privit	y Rule	931
		15-3:4.1	Strict Application of the Rule	931
		15-3:4.2	Attorney Immunity	933
		15-3:4.3	Two Open Questions on Immunity	935
		15-3:4.4	Secondary Liability Under the	
			Securities Laws	937
		15-3:4.5	Claims Against Criminal Attorneys	938
	15-3:5	Cracks in	Tracks Around the Privity Rule?	940
		15-3:5.1	Slander Claims	
		15-3:5.2	Insurance Defense Counsel Issues	941
		15-3:5.3	Estate Legal Malpractice Claims	947
		15-3:5.4	Conclusion	950
15-4	MULT	IPLE CLIE	NT REPRESENTATION	
	ISSUES	S		950

15-5	LIMIT	ATIONS	952
15-6	CAUSE	ES OF ACTION AGAINST A LAWYER	956
	15-6:1	Negligence	956
	15-6:2	DTPA	
	15-6:3	Negligent Misrepresentations	959
	15-6:4	Breach of Fiduciary Duty	959
	15-6:5	Negligence vs. Breach of Fiduciary Duty	963
15-7	DAMA	GES	965
	15-7:1	Mental Anguish	965
	15-7:2	Fee Forfeiture	
	15-7:3	Attorney's Fees As Damages and Collectibility.	
15-8	CONTI	NGENT FEE PROBLEM AREAS	973
	15-8:1	Minimizing the Risk	
15-9	ADDIT	TIONAL MISCELLANEOUS THOUGHTS AN	D
	MUSIN	VGS	
	15-9:1	The Good Faith Rule	983
	15-9:2	Insurance Issues	984
	15-9:3	Proximate Cause	985
	15-9:4	Law Office Issues	
15-10	HOT SI	POTS, DANGER ZONES, RED FLAGS	991
		ENTION AND AVOIDANCE	993
CHAI	PTER 15	—APPENDIX A: Multi-Client	
		Representation Letter	996
CHAI	PTER 15	—APPENDIX B: Proposed Prospective Client	
		Letter Rejecting Case	
CHA	PTER 15	—APPENDIX C: Proposed Hourly Retainer Lette	er1003
CHAI	PTER 15	—APPENDIX D: Top 10 Ways To Avoid	
		Malpractice	1007
Chant	on 16. Th	e Liability Case	1011
16-1		DUCTION	
16-2		BBING THE CASE	
16-3		TING THE CASE	
16-4		CTURING THE DISCOVERY	
16-5		S GROUPS AND JURY RESEARCH	
16-6		SELECTION	
16-7		NG	
10-7	16-7:1	Tell a Story, Do Not Recite Facts	
	16-7:1	Use Names in Opening, Not Titles or Pronouns	
	16-7:3	Speak in Simple Terms Using Easy Words	
	16-7:3	Use Visuals and Demonstratives	
	16-7:5	Use Language of the Charge in Opening	
	10 1.5	coe Language of the Charge in Opening	, 1050

	16-7:6		Vhole Story	1030
16-8	ORDE	R OF WIT	NESSES, TESTIMONY, AND	
				1031
	16-8:1	Lead Wit	h Your Trump Card	1031
	16-8:2	Plan on C	Calling the Opposite Party	
		as an Adv	verse Witness	1031
	16-8:3	Have You	r Direct Examinations Prepared	
		to the Nt	h Degree	1032
	16-8:4	Keep Usi	ng Demonstratives	1033
	16-8:5	Keep Obj	ections to a Minimum	1033
	16-8:6	Honor th	e Playing Field, But Use	
		the Playir	ng Field	1034
	16-8:7	Be Smart	With Exhibits	1035
16-9	CLOSI	NG		1036
16-10	CONC	LUSION		1037
			ses of Action Unique to the Oil	
and				
17-1			N	
17-2	BREAC		PLIED COVENANTS	
	17-2:1		f Implied Covenants	
			Classification	1040
		17-2:1.2	Reasonably Prudent Operator	
			Standard	
	17-2:2		Covenant to Develop the Leasehold	
		17-2:2.1	Scope of Duty	
		17-2:2.2	Elements of Claim	
		17-2:2.3	Damages and Other Relief	1044
	17-2:3		Covenant to Protect the Leasehold	
		-	Orainage	
		17-2:3.1	Scope of Duty	
		17-2:3.2	Elements of Claim	
		17-2:3.3	Damages and Other Relief	
	17-2:4		Covenant to Market	
		17-2:4.1	Scope of Duty	
		17-2:4.2	Elements of Claim	
		17-2:4.3	Damages and Other Relief	1050
	17-2:5		Covenant to Diligently	
			erly Operate	
		17-2:5.1	Scope of Duty	
		17-2:5.2	Elements of Claim	
		17-2:5.3	Damages and Other Relief	1052

17-3	SUBSU	JRFACE T	RESPASS	1053			
	17-3:1		the Claim	1053			
	17-3:2	Subsurfac	ce Trespass Resulting From Horizontal				
		or Direct	onal Drilling	1055			
	17-3:3	Subsurfac	ce Trespass Resulting From Hydraulic				
		Fracturin	g	1057			
	17-3:4	Geophysi	cal Trespass	1059			
17-4	LEASE	ETERMIN	ATION	1060			
	17-4:1	Nature of	Lease Termination	1060			
	17-4:2	Trespass-	to-Try-Title	1062			
	17-4:3	Declarate	ory Judgment	1063			
	17-4:4	Breach of	the Lease	1064			
17-5	SURFA		AGE CLAIMS	1065			
	17-5:1	17-5:1 The Divided Estate: Severance of Mineral					
		and Surfa	ice Estate	1065			
		17-5:1.1	The Mineral Estate is the Dominant				
			Estate	1066			
		17-5:1.2	Contractual Limitations on Mineral				
			Lessee's Usage of Surface	1067			
	17-5:2	The Acco	mmodation Doctrine	1067			
		17-5:2.1	Application of the Accommodation				
			Doctrine	1069			
		17-5:2.2	Reasonable Alternative Method of				
			Surface Use	1069			
	17-5:3	Surface R	Lestoration Issues	1069			
	17-5:4	Surface D	Damage Claims	1070			
17-6	BREAG	CH OF DU	TY BY EXECUTIVE				
	RIGHT		ER				
	17-6:1		of the Executive Right				
	17-6:2	Duties of	Executive Right Holder	1072			
	17-6:3	Breach of	Duty to Non-Executive	1073			
		17-6:3.1	Breach of Executive Duty by				
			Self-Dealing	1073			
		17-6:3.2	Breach of Executive Duty by Refusal				
			to Lease				
17-7	POOLI		MS	1074			
	17-7:1	Railroad	Commission of Texas Regulatory				
	17-7:2		of Pooling				
	17-7:3		ect of Pooling				
	17-7:4		Pooling				
	17-7:5	Compuls	ory Pooling	1078			

		17-7:5.1	The Mineral Interest Pooling Act	1078
		17-7:5.2	Required Voluntary Pooling Offer	1078
		17-7:5.3	Requirements for Compulsory	
			Pooling Order	1079
		17-7:5.4	Appeal of Compulsory Pooling	
			Order	1081
Chap	ter 18: Pr	eservation o	of Error – Appeal Tactics	1083
18-1				
	18-1:1	Petition		1085
	18-1:2	Special E	xceptions	1086
	18-1:3			
18-2	PRETE	RIAL MOT	TONS	1089
	18-2:1		ppearance	
		18-2:1.1	Basic Requirements of Personal	
			Jurisdiction	1089
		18-2:1.2	General Jurisdiction and Specific	
			Jurisdiction	1090
		18-2:1.3	Burdens of Proof	1091
		18-2:1.4	Preservation of Error—Order of	
			Pleading and Hearing	1091
		18-2:1.5	Appeals	1094
	18-2:2	Motion to	Transfer Venue	
		18-2:2.1	Objecting to Venue	1094
		18-2:2.2	Preservation of Error—Order of	
			Pleading and Hearing	1095
		18-2:2.3	Appeals	1095
	18-2:3	Motion to	Dismiss	1096
	18-2:4	Plea to th	e Jurisdiction	1097
	18-2:5	Motion to	Recuse	1098
	18-2:6	Motion fo	or Summary Judgment	1099
		18-2:6.1	The "Traditional" Motion for	
			Summary Judgment	1099
		18-2:6.2	The "No Evidence" Motion for	
			Summary Judgment	1100
		18-2:6.3	Summary Judgment Evidence	1100
		18-2:6.4	Responses	
		18-2:6.5	Appeals	1101
	18-2:7	Motion in	Limine	1103
18-3	BENCI	H TRIALS		1104
	18-3:1	Overview		1104
	18-3:2	Findings	of Fact and Conclusions of Law	1105

18-4	JURY S	SELECTIC	N		1106
	18-4:1	Specific C	uestions Re	fused	1106
	18-4:2			lowed	
	18-4:3	Objection	is to a Judge	's Bias	1108
	18-4:4				
	18-4:5	Challenge	es to Venire	Members	1109
18-5	TRIAL				
	18-5:1	Jury Dem	and		1112
	18-5:2	Final, Ap	pealable Jud	Igments and Orders	1113
	18-5:3	Admissio	n and Exclu	sion of Evidence	1115
		18-5:3.1	Objections		1115
			18-5:3.1a	Objections Must Be Tim	ely,
				Specific and Ruled Upo	n 1115
			18-5:3.1b	Continuing Objections	
				Necessary	1116
			18-5:3.1c	When Evidence Has	
				Limited Admissibility	1117
		18-5:3.2		Proof	
		18-5:3.3		to Experts	
		18-5:3.4		ls of Exceptions	
		18-5:3.5	•	Bill	
	18-5:4				
	18-5:5				
18-6					
	18-6:1			ry Charge Practice	
	18-6:2			ference	1124
		18-6:2.1		'and "Formal"	
				es	
		18-6:2.2		and Requests	
			18-6:2.2a	Objections	
			18-6:2.2b	Requests	
		18-6:2.3	_	he Error Preservation Rule	
	18-6:3				1129
		18-6:3.1		Invalid Theories of	
		10 ( 0 0			
		18-6:3.2		e of Error Preservation	
		18-6:3.3		indings	
		18-6:3.4		e Verdicts and Inconsisten	
40 -	D0~=				
18-7					
	18-7:1			on the Verdict	
		18-7:1.1	Overview a	and Procedure	1131

		18-7:1.2	Appellate Review1131
	18-7:2	Motion fo	or Judgment Notwithstanding the
		Verdict	1132
		18-7:2.1	Overview
		18-7:2.2	Procedure
		18-7:2.3	Appellate Review1134
	18-7:3	Motion fo	or New Trial1134
		18-7:3.1	Overview
		18-7:3.2	Procedure
		18-7:3.3	Appellate Review1136
	18-7:4	Motion to	o Modify, Correct or Reform the
			1137
		18-7:4.1	Overview
		18-7:4.2	Procedure
		18-7:4.3	Appellate Review1138
	18-7:5	Motion fo	or Remittitur1138
		18-7:5.1	Overview
		18-7:5.2	Procedure
		18-7:5.3	Appellate Review1139
	18-7:6	Motion fo	or Judgment Nunc Pro Tunc1141
		18-7:6.1	Overview1141
		18-7:6.2	Procedure
		18-7:6.3	Appellate Review 1142
	18-7:7	Request f	or Findings of Fact and Conclusions
		of Law	1142
		18-7:7.1	Overview
		18-7:7.2	Procedure
		18-7:7.3	Appellate Review 1144
18-8	APPEA	LS	1145
	18-8:1	Appellate	Jurisdiction
	18-8:2	Types of	Appeals1146
		18-8:2.1	
		18-8:2.2	When Is Judgment "Rendered"? 1149
		18-8:2.3	Interlocutory Appeals1149
	18-8:3	Perfecting	g the Appeal1152
		18-8:3.1	Notice of Appeal1152
		18-8:3.2	Docketing Statement 1154
	18-8:4	Appellate	Record
		18-8:4.1	Clerk's Record1155
		18-8:4.2	Reporter's Record1156
	18-8:5	Suspendin	ng the Judgment1158
		18-8:5.1	Agreement to Suspend Judgment1158

		18-8:5.2	Superseding the Judgment Via
			Appellate Security1158
		18-8:5.3	Suspension of Judgment by
			Governmental Entities
		18-8:5.4	Suspending Execution by Injunction 1161
	18-8:6	Challenge	es to Legal and Factual Sufficiency
			idence1162
	18-8:7	Briefs	
		18-8:7.1	Appellant's Brief1162
		18-8:7.2	Appellee's Brief1167
		18-8:7.3	Appellant's Reply Brief 1167
	18-8:8	Motion P	ractice1168
		18-8:8.1	Particular Motions1169
		18-8:8.2	Deadlines for Motions to Extend
			Time1170
	18-8:9	Oral Argu	ament and Submission1171
	18-8:10		and Judgment1173
	18-8:11		for Rehearing and En Banc
		Reconside	eration1174
		18-8:11.1	Motion for Rehearing1174
		18-8:11.2	Motion for En Banc Reconsideration 1175
	18-8:12	Mandate	1176
	18-8:13	Appellate	Timetable1177
18-9	SUPRE	ME COU	RT REVIEW1182
	18-9:1	Jurisdiction	on 1183
	18-9:2	Petitions	for Review 1183
		18-9:2.1	Purpose1183
		18-9:2.2	Requirements of Procedure and Form 1185
		18-9:2.3	Contents
		18-9:2.4	Response to Petition for Review
		18-9:2.5	Reply to Response to Petition for
			Review
	18-9:3	Direct Ap	ppeals1195
	18-9:4	Briefs on	the Merits1196
	18-9:5	Appellate	Record
	18-9:6		ament and Submission1199
	18-9:7	Opinion a	and Judgment1200
	18-9:8		for Rehearing1201
	18-9:9	Mandate	1203
	18-9:10	Supreme	Court Timetable—Petition
		-	w

18-10	ORIGIN	NAL PROC	CEEDINGS		. 1208
	18-10:1	Overview.			. 1208
		18-10:1.1	Writ of Ma	ndamus	. 1209
			18-10:1.1a	Clear Abuse of	
				Discretion	. 1209
			18-10:1.1b	No Adequate Remedy by	
				Appeal	. 1210
			18-10:1.1c	Special Situations Where	
				Mandamus Is Used in	
				Business Litigation	. 1210
				hibition	
		18-10:1.3	Writ of Inju	unction	. 1212
	18-10:2				
		18-10:2.1		n Original Proceeding	
		18-10:2.2		al Proceeding Action	
		18-10:2.3		of the Mandamus Record	
		18-10:2.4	Temporary	Relief	. 1214
		18-10:2.5		ing on a Petition for	
			Extraordina	ary Relief	. 1215
				Slander, Business	
				cy	
19-1					
19-2				CTION	
	19-2:1				
	19-2:2				
19-3				ACTION	
	19-3:1				. 1225
	19-3:2	District of			
			•	urt	. 1226
	19-3:3	Venue			. 1226 . 1226
10.1	19-3:4	Venue Personal J	urisdiction		. 1226 . 1226 . 1226
19-4	19-3:4 WHAT	Venue Personal J TO ALLE	urisdiction GE		. 1226 . 1226 . 1226
19-4	19-3:4	Venue Personal J TO ALLE New Plead	urisdiction GEding Standar	d Under TRCP 91a	. 1226 . 1226 . 1226 . 1228
19-4	19-3:4 WHAT 19-4:1	Venue Personal J TO ALLE New Plead and Twom	furisdictionGEding Standar	d Under TRCP 91a	. 1226 . 1226 . 1226 . 1228
19-4	19-3:4 WHAT	Venue Personal J TO ALLE New Plead and Twom Elements	furisdictionGEding Standar	d Under TRCP 91a	. 1226 . 1226 . 1226 . 1228 . 1228 . 1230
19-4	19-3:4 WHAT 19-4:1	Personal J TO ALLE New Plead and Twom Elements 19-4:2.1	furisdiction GEding Standar bly and Iqbo of the Cause Publication	d Under TRCP 91a	. 1226 . 1226 . 1226 . 1228 . 1228 . 1230
19-4	19-3:4 WHAT 19-4:1	Venue Personal J TO ALLE New Plead and Twom Elements	furisdiction GEding Standar ably and Iqba of the Cause Publication Of and Cor	d Under TRCP 91a  of Action	. 1226 . 1226 . 1226 . 1228 . 1228 . 1230 . 1230
19-4	19-3:4 WHAT 19-4:1	Venue Personal J TO ALLE New Plead and Twom Elements 19-4:2.1 19-4:2.2	furisdiction GE	d Under TRCP 91a  of Action  accerning Plaintiff, Including and Groups	. 1226 . 1226 . 1226 . 1228 . 1228 . 1230 . 1230
19-4	19-3:4 WHAT 19-4:1	Personal J TO ALLE New Plead and Twom Elements 19-4:2.1	furisdiction GEding Standar ubly and Iqba of the Cause Publication Of and Cor Businesses False States	d Under TRCP 91a  of Action  neering Plaintiff, Including and Groups  ment of Fact Not an	. 1226 . 1226 . 1226 . 1228 . 1228 . 1230 . 1230
19-4	19-3:4 WHAT 19-4:1	Venue Personal J TO ALLE New Plead and Twom Elements 19-4:2.1 19-4:2.2	furisdiction GEding Standar shly and Iqba of the Cause Publication Of and Cor Businesses False Stater Opinion	d Under TRCP 91a  d of Action  neerning Plaintiff, Including and Groups  ment of Fact Not an	. 1226 . 1226 . 1226 . 1228 . 1228 . 1230 . 1230
19-4	19-3:4 WHAT 19-4:1	Venue Personal J TO ALLE New Plead and Twom Elements 19-4:2.1 19-4:2.2	furisdiction GEding Standar Iduly and Idulation Of the Cause Publication Of and Cor Businesses False Stater Opinion Defamatory	d Under TRCP 91a  of Action  neering Plaintiff, Including and Groups  ment of Fact Not an	. 1226 . 1226 . 1226 . 1228 . 1228 . 1230 . 1230 . 1231

		19-4:2.5	Fault		1242
			19-4:2.5a	Public Figures and Publi	c
				Officials	1244
			19-4:2.5b	Private Figures	1247
			19-4:2.5c	Media Defendants	1248
			19-4:2.5d	Private, Plaintiff, Non-	
				Media Defendant, Subje	ct
				Not a Matter of Public	
				Concern	1250
			19-4:2.5e	Deceased Persons	
		19-4:2.6	Proximate	Cause	
		19-4:2.7			
			19-4:2.7a		
			19-4:2.7b	$\mathcal{E}$	
				Including Reputational	
				and Pain and Suffering	1252
			19-4:2.7c	Special Damages	
	19-4:3	Punitive 1			
	19-4:4				
19-5	THE C			TION ACT (ANTI-SLAP)	
	19-5:1	What Tris	ggers the TC	PA?	1258
	19-5:2				
	19-5:3			ust Prove	
	19-5:4			t Rule	
	19-5:5			Not Ruling	
	19-5:6		While the I	_	
		Is Pendin	g		1265
	19-5:7			•••••	
	19-5:8				
	19-5:9	Attorney	's Fees		1267
19-6	SPECIA	AL EXCEP	TIONS AN	D MOTION	
	TO DIS	SMISS UN	DER RULE	E 91A	1269
19-7					
19-8	AFFIR	MATIVE	DEFENSES	·	1270
	19-8:1	Limitatio	ns		1270
		19-8:1a	Discovery	Rule	1271
		19-8:1b			
	19-8:2	Privileges			
		19-8:2a			
		19-8:2b		Law	
	19-8:3	Immunity			

	19-8:4	Invited Publication	1274
	19-8:5	Consent	1274
	19-8:6	Communications Decency Act	1275
19-9	DISCO	VERY	
19-10	WHAT	LAW APPLIES	1278
19-11	THE M	OTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	1278
19-12	INTER	LOCUTORY APPEAL OF THE	
	DENIA	L OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT	1279
	19-12:1	Constitutionality of the Act	1279
	19-12:2	Who Is a Member of the Press Entitled	
		to Protection?	1280
19-13			
19-14		RIAL ISSUES	
	19-14:1	Take Down Notices	1282
	19-14:2	Collection of Fees	1282
19-15		DER	
19-16		DER DISTINGUISHED FROM LIBEL	
19-17		ESS DISPARGEMENT	1284
19-18		ESS DISPARGEMENT DISTINGUISHED	
		LIBEL AND SLANDER	
19-19		TE OF LIMITATIONS	
19-20		GES	
19-21		ON OF PRIVACY	
		Intrusion	
		Misappropriation/Right of Publicity	
		False Light	1288
	19-21:4	Publication of Private Embarrassing	
		Non-Newsworthy Facts	
		EGGIE LIBEL STATUTE	1290
19-23		AL PROBLEMS RAISED	
		E INTERNET	
19-24	APPEL	LATE ISSUES	1291
		ird-Party Litigation Financing	1293
20-1		DUCTION: WHAT IS THIRD-PARTY	
		ATION FINANCING?	
		Overview	
	20-1:2	The Litigation Financing Market	
		20-1:2.1 Consumer Claims	
		20-1:2.2 Commercial Litigation Financing	
		20-1:2.3 Lending to Law Firms	
	20-1:3	Criticisms of Third-Party Financing	1302

20-2	LEGAI	LITY OF L	ITIGATIO	N FINANCING	1308
	20-2:1	Champert	ty, Maintena	nce, and Barratry	1308
		20-2:1.1	Control		1314
		20-2:1.2	Purpose		1316
		20-2:1.3		tigation	
	20-2:2	Usury			1319
	20-2:3				
20-3	DUTIE	S IN THE	FINANCIN	NG	
	CONTI	RACT			1326
20-4	EFFEC	T ON THE	E LAWYER	-CLIENT	
	RELAT	TIONSHIP.			1328
20-5	<b>IMPAC</b>	T ON THE	E LITIGATI	ED MATTER	1331
	20-5:1	Discovery	: Relevance	of Deal Documents	1331
	20-5:2	Discovery	of Underly	ing Documents: Privileg	ge
		and Work			
		20-5:2.1		nterest doctrine	1335
		20-5:2.2	Work Prod	uct vs. Privilege Waiver	
			Standards.		1338
_					
21-1					
21-2				IONAL DOCUMENT	
21-3					
	21-3:1				
	21-3:2			rity Situations	
		21-3:2.1			
			21-3:2.1a		
			21-3:2.1b	Provisional Director	
			21-3:2.1c		
21-4				HT	
	21-4:1	_		nd Buy-Sell Agreements	1353
		21-4:1.1		Types of Buy-Sell	4074
		** 4		S	
	21-4:2				
		21-4:2.1		Approaches	
		21-4:2.2		oach	
		21-4:2.3		proach	
		21-4:2.4		proach	
		21-4:2.5		ts Made for the Specific	
		21 4 2 5		Interest	
		21-4:2.6	Personal G	oodwill	1359

		21-4:2.7	Minority D	Discount and Control
			Premium	
		21-4:2.8	Lack of Ma	arketability1361
21-5	NO CO	NTRACT	UAL EXIT I	RIGHT 1361
	21-5:1	Books an	d Records Re	equests1362
		21-5:1.1		r1363
		21-5:1.2	Member of	LLC 1364
		21-5:1.3	Limited Pa	rtner 1365
		21-5:1.4	Partner	
		21-5:1.5	_	Persons
	21-5:2			
		21-5:2.1	Shareholde	r Oppression
			21-5:2.1a	Court Ordered Buy-Out
				Pre- <i>Ritchie</i>
			21-5:2.1b	Post-Ritchie Shareholder
				Oppression
			21-5:2.1b1	Review of Ritchie –
				Majority and Dissenting
				Opinions 1371
			21-5:2.1b2	Additional Supreme Court
				Decisions Impacting
				Private Company Owners 1374
		21-5:2.2		Claims 1376
			21-5:2.2a	Procedures Applicable to
				Closely Held Companies
				and LLCs1378
			21-5:2.2a1	Damages in Close
				Corporation Suits Can Be
				Paid Directly to the
				Minority Shareholder 1380
			21-5:2.2b	Recovery of Fees and
				Costs
			21-5:2.2c	Breach of Fiduciary
				Duty
			21-5:2.2d	Limitations on Fiduciary
				Duties
		01.500	21-5:2.2e	Potential Buyout Right 1386
		21-5:2.3		Contract1387
		21-5:2.4		
		21-5:2.5		rities Act
		21-5.2 6	Implied Par	rtnershin 1390

21-6	SETTL	LEMENT	1392
	21-6:1	Scope of Release	1392
	21-6:2	Interest Transferred	1393
		21-6:2.1 Ownership of Entity	1393
		21-6:2.2 Commission and Other Payments	
		Obligations	1393
		21-6:2.3 Intellectual Property	
		21-6:2.4 Employment Issues	
	21-6:3	Timing of Transfer	
	21-6:4	Security for Delayed Payments	
	21-6:5	Other Contractual Relationships	
	21-6:6	Tax Consequences	1395
	21-6:7	Non-Competition or Non-Solicitation	
		Requirements	
	21-6:8	Disclaimer of Reliance	1396
		novations to Improve Jury Trials in Texas	
22-1		DDUCTION	
22-2		ING THE LENGTH OF TRIALS	
	22-2:1	Summary	
	22-2:2	Empirical Studies	
	22-2:3	Current Usage	
	22-2:4	Legal Support	1404
22-3		MINARY SUBSTANTITIVE INSTRUCTIONS	
	22-3:1	Summary	
	22-3:2	Empirical Studies	
	22-3:3	Current Usage	1409
	22-3:4	Legal Support	
22-4		R-POSED QUESTIONS	
	22-4:1	Summary	
	22-4:2	Empirical Studies	
	22-4:3	Current Usage	
	22-4:4	Legal Support	1414
22-5		OIR DIRE JURY QUESTIONNAIRES	
	22-5:1	Summary	
	22-5:2	Empirical Studies	
	22-5:3	Current Usage	
22 (	22-5:4	Legal Support	
22-6		ING STATEMENTS BEFORE VOIR DIRE	
	22-6:1	Summary	
	22-6:2	Empirical Studies	
	22-6:3	Current Usage	1422

	22-6:4	Legal Support	
22-7	INTER	IM ARGUMENTS BY COUNSEL	1422
	22-7:1	Summary	1422
	22-7:2	Empirical Studies	1423
	22-7:3	Current Usage	1424
	22-7:4	Legal Support	1424
22-8	BACK-	TO-BACK EXPERTS	1425
	22-8:1	Summary	1425
	22-8:2	Empirical Studies	1426
	22-8:3	Current Usage	
	22-8:4	Legal Support	1427
22-9	<b>JUROR</b>	DISCUSSION OF EVIDENCE BEFORE	
	DELIBI	ERATION	1428
	22-9:1	Summary	
	22-9:2	Empirical Studies	1428
	22-9:3	Current Usage	1429
	22-9:4	Legal Support	
22-10	CONCL	LUSION	1430
Chapte		Remote Advocacy and Innovations to Improve Jury	
		rials in Texas	
		DUCTION	
		NG THE LENGTH OF TRIALS	
		MINARY SUBSTANTIVE INSTRUCTIONS	
		-POSED QUESTIONS	
		DIR DIRE JURY QUESTIONNAIRES	
		NG STATEMENTS BEFORE VOIR DIRE	
		IM ARGUMENTS BY COUNSEL	
		TO-BACK EXPERTS	1436
22A-9		DISCUSSION OF EVIDENCE BEFORE	
		ERATION	
22A-10	CONCI	LUSION	1437
Chapte		Discovery	1439
23-1		DUCTION AND THE SEDONA	
		ERENCE	
23-2		L SECONDARY SOURCES	
23-3		NOLOGY	1452
23-4		ADDRESSING DISCOVERY (INCLUDING	
	E-DISC	OVERY)	
	23-4:1	Texas Rules of Civil Procedure	
		23-4:1.1 Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 166	1472

		23-4:1.2	Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 191.2 14	/3
		23-4:1.3	Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.1 14	73
		23-4:1.4	Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.3 14	73
			23-4:1.4a Texas Rule of Civil	
			Procedure 192.3(a) 14	73
			23-4:1.4b Texas Rule of Civil	
			Procedure 192.3(b) 14	74
		23-4:1.5	Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 192.4 14	74
			23-4:1.5a Texas Rule of Civil	
			Procedure 192.4(a) 14	74
			23-4:1.5b Texas Rule of Civil	
			Procedure 192.4(b) 14	74
		23-4:1.6	Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 196.4 14	74
	23-4:2	Federal R	ules of Civil Procedure14	75
		23-4:2.1	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1 14	75
		23-4:2.2	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 14	76
		23-4:2.3	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 14	76
		23-4:2.4	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 14	78
		23-4:2.5	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37 14	79
	23-4:3	Federal R	ules of Evidence14	80
		23-4:3.1	Federal Rule of Evidence 902(13) 14	80
		23-4:3.2	Federal Rule of Evidence 902(14) 14	80
	23-4:4	Texas Cas	es Addressing E-Discovery14	81
		23-4:4.1	In re Weekley Homes14	81
		23-4:4.2	<i>In re Shipman</i>	85
		23-4:4.3	In re State Farm Lloyds14	
23-5	PRESE	RVATION	OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION 14	
	23-5:1	When the	Duty Arises14	89
	23-5:2	Substantia	al Chance of Litigation14	89
	23-5:3	Material a	and Relevant Evidence14	91
	23-5:4	Proportio	nality14	91
	23-5:5	Duties of	Inside and Outside Counsel14	92
	23-5:6	Litigation	Hold14	93
	23-5:7	Who Gets	a Litigation Hold14	93
	23-5:8		Send a Litigation Hold14	
	23-5:9	Preserving	g and Collecting Your Client's Data 14	94
	23-5:10		on Letter14	
	23-5:11	Who Gets	a Preservation Letter14	96
	23-5:12	When to S	Send a Preservation Letter14	96
23-6	DOCUI	MENT PR	ODUCTION14	96
	23-6:1	How to R	equest and Respond/Object to ESI	
		Documen	ts	97

		23-6:1.1	Requests fo	r ESI Documents	1497	
		23-6:1.2	Respond/O	bject to Requests for ESI		
			Documents		1498	
	23-6:2	Cooperati	on and Prop	ortionality	1499	
		23-6:2.1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		23-6:2.2	Federal Rul	es	1500	
	23-6:3	ESI Proto	col	•••••	1500	
	23-6:4	Form of I	Production	•••••	1501	
		23-6:4.1	Native Form	nat	1502	
		23-6:4.2	Static Form	at	1503	
	23-6:5	Responses	s and Objecti	ons to Requests for ESI		
		Documen	ts	-	1503	
		23-6:5.1	Two Types	of Objections: General and		
			Specific	•••••	1503	
			23-6:5.1a	General objections	1503	
			23-6:5.1b	Specific objections	1503	
23-7	OUTSI	DE E-DISC	COVERY VE	ENDOR		
	CONSI	DERATIO	NS		1505	
	23-7:1					
23-8	PRIVIL	EGE & IN	[ADVERTE]	NT WAIVER	1509	
	23-8:1	Texas Rules and Cases				
	23-8:2	Federal R	ules and Cas	es	1511	
	23-8:3			Rule of Evidence 502		
23-9	USING	E-DISCO	VERY IN LI	TIGATION	1515	
	23-9:1	Documen				
		23-9:1.1	Methods of	Document Review	1515	
			23-9:1.1a	Manual review	1515	
			23-9:1.1b	Data filtering	1516	
			23-9:1.1c	Clustering or grouping		
				documents		
			23-9:1.1d	Technology-assisted review.	1516	
	23-9:2					
	23-9:3	Self-Author				
		23-9:3.1		·		
		23-9:3.2		es		
	23-9:4	Electronic				
			23-9:4.1a	E-mail		
			23-9:4.1b	Text messages		
			23-9:4.1c	Social network postings		
			23-9:4.1d	Digital photographs		
23-10	SPOLIA					
	23-10:1	Duty to P	reserve		1522	

	23-10:2	Culpabili	ty		1523
	23-10:3	Relevance	e/Prejudice		1523
		23-10:3.2	Availabilit	y of other evidence	1525
	23-10:4			•••••	
				tion Jury Instruction	
СНА				ona Conference	
01111		1111111		cle Summaries	1531
Chap	ter 24: Co	nstruction	Litigation		1567
24-1					
	24-1:1	Four Thr	eshold Cons	truction Law Litigation	
					1568
	24-1:2			Construction Litigation	
					1569
24-2	CERTI				
	24-2:1				
	24-2:2	Claims For Which a Certificate of Merit is			
	,_				1570
	24-2:3	Parties Required to File a Certificate of Merit 1			
	24-2:4	Qualifications Required to Submit a Certificate			
	2.2	of Merit			
		24-2:4.1		Requirements	
		24-2:4.2		Engaged" Requirement	
		24-2:4.3		equirement	
		27 2,7,3	24-2:4.3a		15/4
			24 2.4.3a	Satisfy the Practice	
				Requirement	1575
			24-2:4.3b		1373
			24-2.4.50	Specialization Required to	
				Satisfy the Practice	,
					1575
		24-2:4.4	Described C	Requirement	13/3
		24-2:4.4			
				n Expert to Submit a	1577
	24.2.5	T1 C (		of Merit	13//
	24-2:5			rit's "Factual Basis"	1.577
	24.2.6				15//
	24-2:6			ntemporaneous Filing	1.550
	24 2 7				15/9
	24-2:7			for Failure to File a Valid	1.500
	2422				
	24-2:8	Appealin	g a Certifica	te of Merit Ruling	1582

24-3	STATU	JTES OF L	IMITATIONS AND STATUTES OF	
	REPOS	SE		1584
	24-3:1	Introduction		
	24-3:2	Statutes of Limitations		
	24-3:3	Statutes of	of Repose	1587
		24-3:3.1	Statutes of Repose Generally	
		24-3:3.2	Construction Law Specific Statutes of	
			Repose in Texas	
		24-3:3.3	Individuals Not Covered by	
			Construction Statutes of Repose	1590
		24-3:3.4	Defining Improvements Under the	
			Texas Statutes of Repose	1591
		24-3:3.5	Raising a Statute of Repose Defense	1592
		24-3:3.6	Statutes of Repose as a Substantive	
			Right	1592
		24-3:3.7	Constitutional Challenges to Statutes	
			of Repose	
24-4	ECONOMIC LOSS RULE			
	24-4:1	Introduct	ion	
		24-4:1.1	What is the Economic Loss Rule?	
		24-4:1.2	Application to Defective Products	
		24-4:1.3	Application to Negligent Services	
	24-4:2	Exceptions to the Economic Loss Rule		
		24-4:2.1	Intentional Torts	
		24-4:2.2	Special Relationships	1600
		24-4:2.3	"Other Property"	
		24-4:2.4	Out-of-Pocket Expenses	
		24-4:2.5	Post-Contractual Misrepresentation	
	24-4:3		evelopments and Outstanding Issues	1604
		24-4:3.1	No Exception Where Damages are	
			Not "Other Property"	1604
		24-4:3.2	Misappropriation is an Exception to	
			the Economic Loss Rule Where a	
			Duty is Outside of a Confidentiality	
			Agreement	1605
		24-4:3.3	Implied Warranty May be an	
			Exception to the Economic Loss Rule	
24-5	INTERPLAY BETWEEN CHAPTERS 33 AND 82			
	24-5:1	Introduction		
	24-5:2	Responsible Third Parties		
	24-5:3	Chapter 82 Indemnity		
	24-5:4	Chapters 33 and 82 Interplay1		1612

24-6	EMPLO	OYER LIA	BILITY FO	OR ACTS OF AN			
	INDEP	PENDENT	CONTRAC	CTOR1614			
	24-6:1	Third-Par	rty Over Act	ions1614			
	24-6:2	Employer Duties and Liabilities Under the					
		Common	Law				
		24-6:2.1	Duties Ow	ed by the Employer1615			
		24-6:2.2	Causes of .	Action for Negligence and			
				iability1615			
		24-6:2.3		o Ensure That the			
			Independen	nt Contractor Performs			
				1617			
	24-6:3	Common		ions to the No-Liability			
			Rule				
		24-6:3.1		Liability for Negligent			
			Selection o	of Contractor 1619			
		24-6:3.2	The Emplo	oyer's Control Gives Rise to a			
			Duty of Ca	are1619			
			24-6:3.2a	Employer Control: General			
				Principles1620			
			24-6:3.2b				
				Amount to Control 1622			
			24-6:3.2c	Conduct That Gives Rise			
				to a Narrow Duty of Care 1623			
		24-6:3.3	The Impor	tance of Contractual			
			Language.				
			24-6:3.3a	Contractual Language That			
				Protects the Employer 1625			
			24-6:3.3b	The Importance of Pass-			
				Through Provisions 1626			
	24-6:4	Employer	Liability U	nder Texas Civil Practice &			
		Remedies	Code Chap	ter 951626			
		24-6:4.1	Procedural	Aspects 1627			
		24-6:4.2	Applicabili	ity 1628			
			24-6:4.2a	Chapter 95 Also Applies to			
				Claims Based on the			
				Owner's Negligence 1628			
			24-6:4.2b	Chapter 95 Does Not			
				Apply to Owner's			
				Employees 1629			
		24-6:4.3	Who is a C	Contractor and What Work			
			Qualifies U	Under Chapter 95 1629			
		24-6:4.4	The Scope	of an Improvement1630			

			Actual Knowledge Under Chapter 95		
	24-6:5	Jury Charge	e and Related Issues	1633	
Chapt	er 25: Ta	ctical Conside	erations in Modern Business Trials	1635	
25-1	CORPO	ORATE REP	RESENTATIVES: YOUR BEST		
	25-1:1		ate Representative Mistake	1637	
	25-1:2	Modern Function of the Corporate			
		Representat	1638		
	25-1:3	Using Corporate Representative Deposition at			
				1640	
			Corporate Representative Deposition		
			Admissible Against Corporation	1640	
			Additional Steps Required to		
			Prevent Organization From	1.640	
	25 1 4		Changing Position		
25.2	25-1:4 EXPER		ALLENGE AT TRIAL		
25-2	25-2:1		Challenge Mistake		
	25-2:1		ge Experts During Trial		
	23-2.2		Legal Reasons		
			Practical Reasons		
25-3	PLAIN		MAGES: GET ON BASE		
20 0	25-3:1		Plaintiff Mistake		
	25-3:2		ages Theories Threaten Your		
				1646	
	25-3:3		ages Theories Hurt Your Credibility		
25-4	DEFEN	NDANTS & 1	LIABILITY: GOOD IS BETTER		
	THAN	PERFECT		1649	
	25-4:1	The Perfect	Defendant Mistake	1649	
	25-4:2	Perfection I	s Not the Legal Standard	1650	
			Contract Cases		
			Employment Cases		
	25-4:3		ise Perfection	1651	
25-5			TERROGATORIES: LAY TRAPS		
	25-5:1		gatories Mistake		
	25-5:2		Jseful Interrogatories for Trial		
			Oraft Good Interrogatories	1652	
			Move to Compel on Objections and		
		A	Answers	1652	

	25-5:3	Using Interrogatories at Trial	. 1653		
25-6	TRIAL	EVIDENCE: DON'T RELY ON DISCOVERY	. 1654		
	25-6:1	The Discovery Crutch Mistake	. 1654		
	25-6:2	Alternative Sources of Evidence and			
		Admissibility	. 1655		
		25-6:2.1 Publicly Filed Financial Statements	. 1655		
		25-6:2.2 Prior Court Filings	. 1655		
		25-6:2.3 Social Media			
		25-6:2.4 Patents & PTO Documents	. 1657		
		25-6:2.5 Industry Reports	. 1657		
25-7	TRIAL	EVIDENCE: PLAN AHEAD FOR			
	M-I HE	ARINGS	. 1658		
	25-7:1	The <i>M-I</i> Hearing Mistake	. 1658		
	25-7:2	Protecting Trade Secret Evidence under TUTSA	. 1658		
	25-7:3	Protecting Confidential Information	. 1659		
	25-7:4	Strategic Considerations for Protecting			
		Trial Evidence	. 1660		
25-8	TECHN	NICAL CONCEPTS: EXPLAIN IT			
	CLEAR	RLY, ONCE	. 1661		
	25-8:1	The KISS Mistake	. 1661		
	25-8:2	Texas Juries by the Numbers	. 1661		
	25-8:3	Provide One Organized Explanation			
		of a Concept	. 1662		
25:9	<b>EMOTI</b>	ONS & POWERFUL EVIDENCE: DON'T			
	OVERE	OO IT	. 1663		
	25-9:1	The Soapbox Mistake	. 1663		
	25-9:2	Keep Your Indignation Below the Jury's	. 1663		
	25-9:3	Limit Exposure to Powerful Evidence			
25-10		RIAL TEAM: USE YOUR BENCH			
		The One-Man Show Mistake			
		Use Young Lawyers			
		Strategic Use of Trial Team	. 1666		
25-11		LUDING THOUGHTS: TRIAL			
		IENCE IS IRREPLACEABLE			
CHAI	PTER 25	—APPENDIX A: Young Lawyers in the Courtroon			
		Program: Hearings and Trials			
CHAI	PTER 25	—APPENDIX B: Notice Regarding Young Lawyer			
		in the Courtroom Program	. 1672		
Table	of Cases.		. 1675		
Index.			. 1803		

#### **TEXAS BUSINESS LITIGATION, 2022**

#### Sofia Adrogué, Editor, Caroline Baker, Co-Editor

Any attorney who has been licensed for a few years should appreciate the fact, and any seasoned practitioner will agree, that the practice of law in the 21st century bears little resemblance to that of the 1900's and it is not entirely due to the technology age. To some degree, it requires almost a re-education process. There is no doubt that it requires a new approach.

The advent of new statutes and changing regulations requires constant vigilance and careful attention by those who appreciate the importance of staying current in their representation of clients. That is the beauty of this treatise. Almost no topic of interest, especially to those who have a litigation practice, has been overlooked. Each of the 25 topics has been covered in depth. It can be used as a handy reference as the need arises.

I predict this publication will be the quick "go to" work for those who "enjoy" an active practice, whatever the area. —Hon. Ruby Kless Sondock

Modern complex business litigation presents a witches' brew of risks to both sides. Controlling legal standards vary across possible venues. Discovery costs are potentially exorbitant. The challenge of persuasively communicating to courts and juries on issues which are foreign to them requires great imagination and skill. Realistic assessment of settlement values is a critical and difficult art.

The Editors have assembled a galaxy of some of the best trial lawyers in the nation to address the myriad issues raised. This work will be an invaluable resource for both trial lawyers and corporate counsel.

—Harry Reasoner, Senior Partner, Vinson & Elkins LLP



**Sofia Adrogué, Editor**, a native of Argentina and commercial litigator for over 25 years, is a trial partner with the Houston office of Diamond McCarthy LLP.



Caroline Baker, Co-Editor, a fifth-generation Texan, served the citizens of Harris County as a senior state district judge for 21 years and now sits by assignment and is in charge of a Multidistrict Litigation.

# **Contributing Authors**

Kim J. Askew (Discovery), DLA Piper LLP

Chip Babcock (Speech-Based Torts: Libel, Slander, Business Disparagement and Invasion of Privacy), Jackson Walker, L.L.P.

Chad Baruch (Ethics), Johnston Tobey Baruch, PC

David J. Beck (Damages), Beck Redden, LLP

Maria Wyckoff Boyce (Expert Witnesses), Hogan Lovells US LLP

Hon. Mark Drummond (Ret.) (Remote Advocacy and Innovations to Improve Jury Trials in Texas), Judicial Director, Civil Jury Project, NYU School of Law

Dawn Estes (E-Discovery), Estes Thorne & Carr PLLC

Charles Everingham IV (Intellectual Property and Trade Secrets Litigation), Ward, Smith & Hill, PLLC

David Gerger (Criminal Law in Business Tort Cases), Gerger Hennessy & McFarlane

**Robin Gibbs** (*Breach of Fiduciary Duty Claims in the Commercial Setting*), Gibbs & Bruns LLP

Elizabeth M. Guffy (Bankruptcy Ramifications in Business Litigation Cases), Locke Lord LLP

Ladd Hirsch (Business Divorce), Bradley

Lamont A. Jefferson (Contorts), Jefferson Cano, PLLC

Randy Johnston (Ethics), Johnston Tobey Baruch, P.C.

Mark Lanier (The Liability Case), Lanier Law Firm

Michael J. Mazzone (Construction Litigation), Haynes & Boone, LLP Alice Oliver-Parrott (Alternative Dispute Resolution), Alice Oliver-Parrott, P.C. James J. Ormiston (Selected Causes of Action Unique to the Oil and Gas Industry), Gray Reed & McGraw LLP

Yvette Ostolaza (Fraud and Negligent Misrepresentation), Sidley Austin LLP

**Evan P. Singer** (Corporate Governance and Regulatory Proceedings), Jones Day

Harry Susman (Evaluating Commercial Cases: Avoiding Icebergs), Susman Godfrey L.L.P.

**Stephen D. Susman** (deceased) (Innovations to Improve Jury Trials in Texas), Susman Godfrey L.L.P.

**Prof. W. Bradley Wendel** (*Third-Party Litigation Financing*), Cornell Law School

A. Martin Wickliff, Jr. (Employment Law Litigation), Cozen O'Connor Marie R. Yeates (Preservation of Error—Appeal Tactics), Vinson & Elkins LLP

R. Paul Yetter (Antitrust), Yetter Coleman LLP

John Zavitsanos (Tactical Considerations in Modern Business Trials), AZA

